



2022-2023 K-5 Math Adoption

Contents

- 1. 2022-2023 K-5 Math Adoption Timeline**
- 2. District Adoption Committee Results**
- 3. Teacher Feedback**
- 4. Preselection Committee Evaluations and Summary of Recommendation Forms**
 - a. Grade K**
 - b. Grade 1**
 - c. Grade 2**
 - d. Grade 3**
 - e. Grade 4**
 - f. Grade 5**
- 5. District Specialists and Coaches**
- 6. Rubrics**

2022-2023 K-5 Math Adoption Timeline

Step	Date	Responsible Person(s)	NOTES
Follow FLDOE adoption cycle > Review FLDOE Course Call for Next Year	May	Supervisor	
Meet with Curriculum Specialists to create a plan for the adoption	June/July	Supervisor	6-12 was adopted in the 2021-2022 school year. K-5 was delayed to allow teachers and specialists time to intentionally look at and understand the new standards.
Create Rubric for the review process	Fall 2023	Curriculum Specialists	Based rubric on the FLDOE rubric, adjusted it to fit CCDS student needs.
Set up face-to-face Preselection Committee Date(s)	January 4th Inservice/Planning Day	Specialists Supervisor	
Updates to the adoption are placed on the IR Website	As needed	Supervisor/IR Specialist	
Build and manage adoption in Google Classroom	July/August	Supervisor/IR Specialist	
Send out Course Call to Publishers and request IT survey be completed by publishers.	September 27, 2022	Supervisor/IR Specialist	Sent requests to publishers to update digital access and information related to their product.
Round 1 Elimination: IT reviews survey responses. Eliminate unacceptable technology systems.	Occured Fall 2021	Supervisor/IR Specialist	IT reviewed submissions in Fall 2021 when the state called for adoption.
Request copies from publishers: both physical and online access of materials (Include teacher reviewer and public reviewer).	Occured Fall 2021	Supervisor/IR Specialist	Used copies submitted in Fall 2021. Let publishers know they could send any updated materials.
Round 2 Elimination: Curriculum Specialists review materials to eliminate those that do not meet the district requirements.	December 15, 2022	Curriculum Specialists	Publisher presentations were requested by specialists and held this date. Noticed on website.
Create Preselection Committee Review Teams	Fall 2022	Curriculum Specialists Supervisor/IR Specialist	Application sent to principals in SWB.
Round 3 Elimination: Preselection Committees review materials, complete rubrics, narrow down to top 2	January 4, 2023	Curriculum Specialists	Parents invited. Noticed on website.
Round 4 Elimination: Preselection Committee top 2 choices are sent to teachers (same grade level/subject area) for review and TOP Choice recommendation.	January 9 through 23, 2023	Supervisor/IR Specialist	Email sent to math teachers January 9th, reminder sent January 19th. About 714 teachers were asked to provide feedback, 257 responded.
Round 5 Elimination: Teacher recommendations are sent to the District Selection Committee for review and preparation of final selection to the Superintendent.	February 15, 2023	Supervisor/IR Specialist/Curriculum Specialists	Parents invited. Noticed on website.
Permission to Publish: Top Choice is sent to the Superintendent and the Board for public review and comments. Must be 30 (20 working days) days from date of publish to hearing.	February 2, 2023	Supervisor	April 6, 2023 Board Meeting
Send advertisement to Clay Today	January 11, 2023	IR Assistant/Supervisor	Presented at the February Board meeting. Published February 9th in Clay Today.
Final selection presented to the Board for public comment and the Board's vote.	April 6, 2023	Supervisor	Materials placed in conference room at 5pm before Board meeting.
Must allow 30 days for comments before the selection is final. Online survey posted on IR website.	Starts 04/07/2023, Ends 5/6/2023	Supervisor/IR Specialist	
Purchase as necessary	May 2023	Supervisor	



2022-2023 K-5 Math Adoption

District Adoption Committee Results

On February 15, 2023, the District Adoption Committee voted unanimously to accept the recommendation of Clay County teachers. The teacher recommendations are as follows:

Grade	Course Title	Course Number	First Choice	Second Choice
K	Grade Kindergarten Mathematics	5012020	Great Minds <i>Eureka Math Squared</i> <i>Florida B.E.S.T Edition</i>	McGraw Hill Education <i>Florida Reveal Math</i>
1	Grade One Mathematics	5012030	Great Minds <i>Eureka Math Squared</i> <i>Florida B.E.S.T Edition</i>	McGraw Hill Education <i>Florida Reveal Math</i>
2	Grade Two Mathematics	5012040	Great Minds <i>Eureka Math Squared</i> <i>Florida B.E.S.T Edition</i>	McGraw Hill Education <i>Florida Reveal Math</i>
3	Grade Three Mathematics	5012050	Great Minds <i>Eureka Math Squared</i> <i>Florida B.E.S.T Edition</i>	McGraw Hill Education <i>Florida Reveal Math</i>
4	Grade Four Mathematics	5012060	Great Minds <i>Eureka Math Squared</i> <i>Florida B.E.S.T Edition</i>	McGraw Hill Education <i>Florida Reveal Math</i>
5	Grade Five Mathematics	5012070	Great Minds <i>Eureka Math Squared</i> <i>Florida B.E.S.T Edition</i>	McGraw Hill Education <i>Florida Reveal Math</i>



2022-2023 K-5 Math Adoption

Teacher Feedback

*Teachers were asked to rank the titles as first or second choice. See the following pages for teacher comments.

Grade	Course Title	Course Number	First Choice	Second Choice
K	Grade Kindergarten Mathematics	5012020	Great Minds <i>Eureka Math Squared</i> <i>Florida B.E.S.T Edition</i>	McGraw Hill Education <i>Florida Reveal Math</i>
1	Grade One Mathematics	5012030	Great Minds <i>Eureka Math Squared</i> <i>Florida B.E.S.T Edition</i>	McGraw Hill Education <i>Florida Reveal Math</i>
2	Grade Two Mathematics	5012040	Great Minds <i>Eureka Math Squared</i> <i>Florida B.E.S.T Edition</i>	McGraw Hill Education <i>Florida Reveal Math</i>
3	Grade Three Mathematics	5012050	Great Minds <i>Eureka Math Squared</i> <i>Florida B.E.S.T Edition</i>	McGraw Hill Education <i>Florida Reveal Math</i>
4	Grade Four Mathematics	5012060	Great Minds <i>Eureka Math Squared</i> <i>Florida B.E.S.T Edition</i>	McGraw Hill Education <i>Florida Reveal Math</i>
5	Grade Five Mathematics	5012070	Great Minds <i>Eureka Math Squared</i> <i>Florida B.E.S.T Edition</i>	McGraw Hill Education <i>Florida Reveal Math</i>

		2022-2023 K-5 Math Adoption Teacher Feedback
		Please provide a comment regarding the reason for your ranking preference. For instance, what made your first choice stand out above your second choice?
Rank Great Minds, Eureka Math2 Florida BEST according to your preference.	Rank McGraw-Hill Education, Florida Reveal Math according to your preference.	
First Choice	Second Choice	I was part of the committee, so I spend a lot of time reviewing and digesting the materials. Eureka really stepped it up!
First Choice	Second Choice	I have seen how the Eureka program helps students gain a conceptual knowledge of number relationships that will help them throughout their learning career, not just in their current grade level.
First Choice	Second Choice	It is my professional opinion that continuing the use of a curriculum that offers rigor and high expectations for our students is the reason that our Math scores across the county have increased. It is also my professional opinion that continuing with the publisher and part 2 of what we are already using makes the most logical sense to ensure that our students of Clay know how to fluently and proficiently solve equations and math problems that will help them thrive in their future. Changing to a curriculum that has less rigor will only regress our students and set us back with data that will in turn affect our students long term and short term. This decision will affect the future of what our students will need once they reach junior and high school.
First Choice	Second Choice	EM2 really focuses on building number sense across the grade levels and the curriculum really builds as the children progress through elementary school
First Choice	Second Choice	STOP CHANGING CURRICULUM WE NEED CONTINUITY SO DO STUDENTS
First Choice	Second Choice	I love teaching the Eureka we have now and I felt that the new Eureka was very student friendly.
First Choice	Second Choice	I chose great minds because during the apply stage , it has directions on how to complete the work. This is great for swd as well as for parents that may need to help them complete homework. This is something that is lacking currently in our math curriculum, and can be very hard for swd as well as parents.
First Choice	Second Choice	Eureka provides my students with the skills they need to be successful while still covering the BEST standards.
First Choice	Second Choice	After teaching math using the Eureka math curriculum, I have seen tremendous improvements in our students ability to be successful with grade level material. Eureka math is very inclusive to all types of learners and makes differentiation easy to facilitate in a classroom of diverse learners.
First Choice	Second Choice	Since we have already been teaching Eureka, I feel like this is the best choice because it will continue what we have already been doing, but even better with the recommended changes that have been made to the curriculum and materials.
First Choice	Second Choice	I like the digital access component to Eureka better for my ESE students.
First Choice	Second Choice	Eureka is completely aligned to our B.E.S.T. Standards, it develops a strong number sense in elementary learners, it is rigorous, it includes opportunities for both enrichment and remediation and support for ELL students, and it encourages students to think critically.
First Choice	Second Choice	In Eureka Math 2 the apply book has examples to review the lesson. This is great to send home with the students so the parents know how to help them with their homework.
First Choice	Second Choice	Great Minds teaches the way behind the algorithm not just the algorithm.
First Choice	Second Choice	I feel strongly that Eureka math has benefited my students and Eureka Math 2 will continue to grow their mathematical skills and build upon those strategies that have been working well for a variety of learners.
First Choice	Second Choice	Familiarity with the Eureka Math curriculum will be an easier adjustment for the students.
First Choice	Second Choice	Eureka has proven results and the changes to the new version of it matches Florida BEST standards much better!
First Choice	Second Choice	I have used Eureka Squared before and it is highly effective.
First Choice	Second Choice	Eureka Math - if used with fidelity, has proven high test scores on previous state assessments (FSA).
First Choice	Second Choice	I feel that Eureka is a more rigorous curriculum and they have improved things such as lesson slides and assessments.
First Choice	Second Choice	The Eureka selection appeared to "think of everything" we need to implement a math program that makes learning accessible to all learners.
First Choice	Second Choice	We've had Eureka long enough. The Eureka curriculum throws too many strategies at once and does not give enough practice. The lesson time frames are not feasible.
First Choice	First Choice	I went to both sites and I see the new Eureka but it's still the same, overwhelming first graders with too much at once.
First Choice	Second Choice	Both series are great. Eureka is more rigorous.
First Choice	Second Choice	The Great Minds program has taken all of our feedback over the years and improved on the program. They have provided some engaging visuals, plenty of practice and example opportunities as well as materials to help parents understand this different way of presenting strategies. The ease of navigating both teacher and student resources and streamlining all of the materials is a definite plus as well.
First Choice	Second Choice	I worry that Florida Reveal Math is not rigorous enough. I think it would be a step backwards for us as we have worked so hard to increase the level of rigor and push student thinking in math.
First Choice	Second Choice	The Eureka math program focuses on building the number sense of students with greater depth. Also, the students have been using Eureka, and it would be helpful for the continuity of the math concepts/math language as it builds up from kindergarten to the following grade levels.
First Choice	Second Choice	Eureka Squared has more practice, it teaches a good number sense foundation.

2022-2023 K-5 Math Adoption Teacher Feedback		
Please provide a comment regarding the reason for your ranking preference. For instance, what made your first choice stand out above your second choice?		
Rank Great Minds, Eureka Math2 Florida BEST according to your preference.	Rank McGraw-Hill Education, Florida Reveal Math according to your preference.	Eureka is a rigorous and challenging program. Our students will be more successful in the coming years if we continue with the Eureka program. McGraw Hill is to babyish and not challenging enough.
First Choice	Second Choice	Eureka Math will allow us to have continued success in our math classrooms.
First Choice	Second Choice	My data has shown that since students have been using Eureka, they are able to critically think and problem solve better. Eureka also allows them to show their individual thinking and own it.
First Choice	Second Choice	Eureka is much more rigorous than Reveal.
First Choice	Second Choice	Eureka is more engaging and easy to follow. It is thorough and provides students with everything they need to meet the standards.
First Choice	Second Choice	Eureka is in color and is kid friendly.
First Choice	Second Choice	Since we implemented Eureka math our Math scores have improved consistently. We are giving our students a strong foundation in math along with rigor to succeed in the future. This is the only curriculum that covers the standards in a good order exposing important skills prior to the end of the year. The improvements that Eureka has made will greatly benefit the students of Clay County. Which will continue to strengthen our students in math.
First Choice	Second Choice	I like the overall layout of these modules better and the order in which the concepts are organized.
First Choice	Second Choice	The level of rigor offered with Eureka is higher. Plus our kids are already familiar with it.
First Choice	Second Choice	I like the eureka program now with the PowerPoints. Also like both books and hope both will be provided.
First Choice	Second Choice	Eureka seems as though it has lightened the load of student materials slightly and has also simplified student word problems.
First Choice	Second Choice	Eureka provides instructions for students in a way that they can work independently on the practice problems. They provide pictures for words they may not be able to read. The word problems are shorter and stated in ways that a student can understand, but still require higher order thinking skills to solve. I also like the fact that it was specifically created to meet the B.E.S.T. standards.
First Choice	Second Choice	I like the student materials better. I like that they are in color and are very kid friendly.
First Choice	Second Choice	The Great Minds was more aligned with the BEST standards.
First Choice	Second Choice	I would like to continue Eureka :
First Choice	Second Choice	I like that it aligns with the standards and will be a great transition from the last several years of teaching Eureka.
First Choice	Second Choice	The diagrams seem to be more detail-oriented and user-friendly for 4th graders and seem to align with the previous Eureka curriculum that they have been familiar with in years past.
First Choice	Second Choice	I feel that Eureka Math 2 more closely matches the Florida BEST standards. I also love the "apply" portion where students can practice what they learned at home. It also gives great examples for parents to see what their child is learning at school.
First Choice	Second Choice	familiarity
First Choice	Second Choice	As an AP, I think Eureka 2 ("squared") will provide continuity among our grade levels. As a mom, I have seen a deeper understanding of the "why" behind the math. Using Eureka, it all began to make sense for my daughter.
First Choice	Second Choice	I love Eureka Math that we are currently using. EurekaMath2 looks like an improved version with slides built in to the lessons.
First Choice	Second Choice	Eureka is a good balance between developmentally appropriate and rigorous enough to hold students to high standards. I like the improvements they have made from the old Eureka in terms of pacing and order of modules. Reveal is not rigorous enough in my opinion, and it does not do a very good job of explicitly teaching regrouping in addition and subtraction and the connection between place value and regrouping. These are key concepts for second graders to understand.
First Choice	Second Choice	Eureka Math is a great program, and I feel that we would be going backwards with the other one.
First Choice	Second Choice	i think eureka has a great building system from year to year.
First Choice	Second Choice	Eureka is more rigorous.
First Choice	Second Choice	It will be a continuing program of what we are already using.
First Choice	Second Choice	Eureka Math2 Florida BEST should be chosen over Florida Reveal because a plethora of teachers are familiar with it's content from using the old curriculum. Teachers would be able to focus on diving deep and getting the most out of the curriculum rather trying to figure out the curriculum.
First Choice	Second Choice	There is not a large difference in my opinion. Since we already use Eureka and schools are currently piloting it, we can assume that is the direction we are headed. They have made some positive changes which will help it be more streamlined. I prefer the ease of McGraw Hill as it related to "seeing" the standards and learning targets with each lesson.
First Choice	Second Choice	

2022-2023 K-5 Math Adoption Teacher Feedback		
Rank Great Minds, Eureka Math2 Florida BEST according to your preference.	Rank McGraw-Hill Education, Florida Reveal Math according to your preference.	Please provide a comment regarding the reason for your ranking preference. For instance, what made your first choice stand out above your second choice?
First Choice	Second Choice	I know nothing about McGraw-Hill. I am familiar with Eureka2. It was a lot of work to put together and learn. Do not want to waste more time learning or resources or money prepping.
First Choice	Second Choice	Eureka2 appears to have more rigor.
First Choice	Second Choice	The use of images, the layout and wording
First Choice	Second Choice	The change in Eureka color and books looks promising.
First Choice	Second Choice	I love Eureka and think the changes being made are positive ones that will enhance my classroom teaching and align with our BEST standards.
First Choice	Second Choice	It appears as if Eureka Math has listened and made a few changes to benefit teachers and students. I have been happy with Eureka for the past few years.
First Choice	Second Choice	Eureka appears to be more rigorous and asks the teacher and students to explore concepts together. Eureka lessons need to be aligned more to the standards. Reveal seems okay but also seems more workbook based than explorations of concepts. I would likely enjoy both though.
First Choice	Second Choice	Eureka provides a rigorous learning experience that focuses student learning on WHY and HOW the math works. It develops an ability of deep thinking about the math versus simply relying on repetition. Its cyclical nature provides for year-long review of standards. In addition, it will be a much smoother transition from our current Eureka curriculum, which will allow students and teachers to grow immediately without having to learn the new vocabulary, techniques, et cetera that come with a new curriculum.
First Choice	Second Choice	The lessons are now aligned to the BEST standards. I like the pacing and the complexity of the content along with the fluency that reviews that content throughout the lessons. It pushes not only students, but also teachers to go deeper into mathematical thinking processes.
First Choice	Second Choice	It supports differentiation, remediation, practice and support. It is very organized and has parent support as well. It builds competence and reinforces skills. Students will thrive with this program.
First Choice	Second Choice	We have been using the methods/strategies of Eureka Math/ Great Minds for years now and phased it in throughout the grade levels as a county. From what I have seen at our school and shared county data, the numbers have been on the rise. The students know the math language, strategies from kindergarten on up. The new manuals are in color and more interesting, but with the same methodology that we as teachers have been using. The progression of lessons is a good thing also in the Great Minds / Eureka books. This has been a system that has proven itself to work well for our school and county. Going with an entirely new publisher, it will take at least 3 years for teachers to become proficient with the flow and content which may cause a dip in scores. I am not afraid of change, but when a system is working do we tear it down and build an entirely new one or just update the system making it better? Thanks for asking the teachers opinion - you have an enormous decision to make.
First Choice	Second Choice	Great mind was more rigorous than the reveal math. But it also was less overwhelming then the program we have currently. The exit tickets are also aligned to the lessons each day.
First Choice	Second Choice	When looking at both sample materials the Eureka materials are more rigorous than McGraw.
First Choice	Second Choice	It is close, but Great Minds follows the standards constantly and materials are most user friendly.
First Choice	Second Choice	I chose Great Minds, Eureka Math2 Florida BEST because it had interactive lessons for students that aligned to our Florida BEST standards. I also chose it because of the assessment break down. It broke down each assessment into the standards assessed and then allows the teacher to see the students proficiency with each standard. This break down will be a great tool to creating math small groups.
First Choice	Second Choice	Eureka is more streamlined, yet rigorous.
First Choice	Second Choice	We have been using Eureka for several years now.
First Choice	Second Choice	I'm honestly not a big fan of either. The FI Reveal Math has SO much writing incorporated in it. For my ELA students who struggle some, but excel in Math, this is their chance to have "fun" and just do Math. Of course they get plenty of reading and writing with normal problem sets and word problems, but the Reveal Math seemed to really be pushing more and more ELA with the Math.
First Choice	Second Choice	My students and I are already familiar with Eureka. It also appears to be more rigorous.
First Choice	Second Choice	Eureka provides students with more opportunities to grasp deeper meaning of concepts
First Choice	Second Choice	FL Reveal is to digital. I feel like my students need to have a book in front of them and websites can glitch.
First Choice	Second Choice	I have heard teacher reviews that have used both curriculums. Also I feel like Eureka is already a good program and the newer version worked out some of the kinks that I found was lacking.
First Choice	Second Choice	The content was presented in a more effective manner that also looks to be more appealing to the students.
First Choice	Second Choice	I have watched my students grow so much with Eureka. It is present so well for the students to learn.
First Choice	Second Choice	Love the adjustments that Eureka has made to make lesson less cluttered and more student focused. Love the Launch, Learn, Land approach!

2022-2023 K-5 Math Adoption Teacher Feedback		
Please provide a comment regarding the reason for your ranking preference. For instance, what made your first choice stand out above your second choice?		
Rank Great Minds, Eureka Math2 Florida BEST according to your preference.	Rank McGraw-Hill Education, Florida Reveal Math according to your preference.	
First Choice	Second Choice	I feel my students who have been using the Eureka program have been successful and I would like to stick with it.
First Choice	Second Choice	I don't know anything about Reveal. I'm not of big fan of Eureka.
First Choice	Second Choice	Great Minds, Eureka Math2 has the academic vocabulary found in the Florida B.E.S.T. Standards. The Eureka rigor is not compromised. Lessons have been added to match Florida BEST standards.
First Choice	Second Choice	I love Eureka Math and the improvements made in Eureka Math2. The strategies in the program help students to build math sense and confidence.
First Choice	Second Choice	I like that there are pictures above the words, to help decode the sentence.
First Choice	Second Choice	I have always loved Eureka Math. My kids have learned so much. The only thing lacking in Eureka is Geometry (for Kindergarten). I always have to make sure I teach beyond the few lessons Eureka provides. I looked at both programs and I prefer to stick with Eureka. I like how it is aligned to the FL standards.
First Choice	Second Choice	Eureka provides lessons that are in line with the Best Practices Standards and are easy for the students to understand. I like the scaffolding in the lessons.
First Choice	Second Choice	Eureka provides a number of resources available for teaching, practice, assessment, and parent resources as well. I prefer the content layout of Eureka.
First Choice	Second Choice	I selected Eureka Math 2 as my first choice because I feel it is more rigorous and to level of the standards that the students will be assessed. I think if this is the curriculum adopted, it will be a smooth transition from our current Eureka Math.
First Choice	Second Choice	I prefer Great Minds because I like the way it is organized and the children have to do more processing with actual numbers instead of so much writing for the beginning of first grade. Too much colorful work already done for the kids in McGraw-Hill (in my opinion).
First Choice	Second Choice	After looking through the TEs, I feel like Eureka provides more independent practice for students over Reveal Math. Reveal math seems more fast paced and not as thorough in teaching the standards.
First Choice	Second Choice	Eureka has more rigor than McGraw-Hill. I like Eureka's structure of Teach, Learn, and Apply. I like that Eureka Workbooks are student friendly and it offers ample practice for the student.
First Choice	Second Choice	Eureka Math 2 was more rigorous than Reveal Math
First Choice	Second Choice	More space for practice problems
First Choice	Second Choice	Already have taught Eureka; easier transition for next year
First Choice	Second Choice	I love the rigor and higher order thinking of Eureka and I am excited this one has more visuals for student engagement!
First Choice	Second Choice	I believe (after experiencing Eureka Math), that I prefer to continue with this GREAT program!
First Choice	Second Choice	Eureka stood out with the layout of the physical resources. Having a whole separate resource to provide extra support at home is very helpful to provide families with the tools to help support their students at home without teachers having to do extra planning and prep for those who need additional support at home. Additionally, the digital platform and manipulatives seem to be well-structured to support classroom learning.
First Choice	Second Choice	Familiarity, color pages, sprints included in the workbook, user friendly, teacher friendly organization
First Choice	Second Choice	I teach first grade. The lack of written work on McGraw-Hill (from what I could understand and see on this digital presentation) made me select Eureka. I am not sold on either one. I wish we could go back to in person presentations from a variety of publishers for as many people that wish to participate. We cannot tell very much from this style of presentation. We need to have our hands on materials and we need to really have input. We need to see what will be supplied with the adoption (manipulatives, digital resources, consumables, etc) This is so frustrating. Enough money is spent that publishers can spare some money to send adoption samples out to every school or school should be able to rotate grade level samples. Something has to get better for us.
First Choice	Second Choice	I like that Eureka Math2 is aligned with the B.E.S.T. Standards. I also like that the student text is colorful and easy to read, and the content has spiral review built in.

2022-2023 K-5 Math Adoption Teacher Feedback	
Please provide a comment regarding the reason for your ranking preference. For instance, what made your first choice stand out above your second choice?	
Rank Great Minds, Eureka Math2 Florida BEST according to your preference.	Rank McGraw-Hill Education, Florida Reveal Math according to your preference.
First Choice	Second Choice
First Choice	Second Choice
First Choice	Second Choice
First Choice	Second Choice

I ranked Eureka first because the assessments are now in student friendly language. Also, I like that we can give the assessment online (hopefully we have the online component). The assessments online would allow teachers the ability to adjust instruction quickly since we wouldn't have to spend a lot of time grading. The online assessments would also help prepare for students for taking math assessments online since the FAST is also online. The addition of the topic quizzes is helpful and the fact they are in student friendly language helps. The online platform for teachers is more user friendly than the other curriculum. You can find extra resources under The Equip Module button for each module. These would be helpful for students who need extra support on certain skills. The analyze component on the online platform would be extremely helpful for placing students in groups to review standards because it has a great standards breakdown. They fixed some of my biggest issues: students can now follow along with the lesson in their book so they can go back to it when completing problem sets and that they put some color in the textbook to make it more appealing to students. The addition of the achievement descriptors is helpful for being able to pinpoint the level of proficiency.

My biggest cons with the new Eureka is that from what I understand is we are not getting the online component. The online component for students would be incredibly helpful especially for students who are absent, who just need extra practice and we don't have access to a support person, or if a parent is asking how their student can get extra practice.

I have seen the progress of students' conceptual math knowledge through the usage of Eureka Math over the past five years. I would love to continue building on that momentum by using the latest edition of the curriculum that is better aligned to our standards!

Eureka math builds a great understanding of number sense. While it may give them a wide variety of strategies to solve problems, students have a greater understanding of math concepts and a variety of ways to solve problems.

This is a tough decision. I like the online component of Reveal, but not so easy to navigate. Eureka seems to have addressed some concerns like the student workbook.

<i>2022-2023 K-5 Math Adoption Teacher Feedback</i>		
Please provide a comment regarding the reason for your ranking preference. For instance, what made your first choice stand out above your second choice?		
Rank Great Minds, Eureka Math2 Florida BEST according to your preference.	Rank McGraw-Hill Education, Florida Reveal Math according to your preference.	
Second Choice	First Choice	There are more resources provided with McGraw-Hill.
Second Choice	First Choice	Eureka is too hard for the kids and they are frustrated with all the different strategies thrown at them at one time.
Second Choice	First Choice	Much more interactive than Eureka for students. Language is cohesive and appropriate for grade level. Eureka is not user friendly.
Second Choice	First Choice	I think we need to try something different from Eureka Math.
Second Choice	First Choice	The resources available in reveal are much better than Eureka.
Second Choice	First Choice	There are more resources available. Captures students love for learning. Aligned with the new best standards.
Second Choice	First Choice	Reveal
Second Choice	First Choice	I like how it incorporates real world applications for students to relate to. I also appreciate that it gives information in a way that students can comprehend.
Second Choice	First Choice	Reveal provides many options for differentiation and small groups. The online access gives great opportunity for additional materials as well. Eureka doesn't provide different assessments or many opportunities for differentiation so we would have to pull from other sources. It would be best to use Reveal and already have everything available. Reveal is also very user friendly!
Second Choice	First Choice	The overall interface of the McGraw-Hill, Florida Reveal Math won me over. The personalized instruction that can be provided for students is superior to those that Eureka can provide. Students can see real world problems, digital lessons provide closed captions, as well as resources for those students and families that are ELL. I also enjoyed the Redbird application as well. The course placement activity offers another resource for teachers to help students meet their learning needs. The activity data that is available was neat and organized. As well seeing the standards progress data page was a nice surprise. Knowing which students have mastered a standard and which students have not will help with building small groups, MTSS, and providing extension lessons. Finally, the over all "user friendly" atmosphere of the TE and the SE is more appealing.
Second Choice	First Choice	Reveal flows better. Change is a good thing for primary grades: Student and teachers
Second Choice	First Choice	I like the rigorous content and how it relates to our new BEST Standards. I like the online exit tickets as well and the overall accessibility of the platform.
Second Choice	First Choice	I have worked with McGraw Hill before and it is a great program.
Second Choice	First Choice	I liked the look of the textbooks for this choice better. I liked the spiral reviews in each section and have found these very useful in prior curriculum materials.
Second Choice	First Choice	The materials appear engaging, aligned to BEST standards, provide materials for differentiated instruction, small groups and offer paper and digital resources for both teachers and students.
Second Choice	First Choice	Reveal has small group options/extra work and more visuals
Second Choice	First Choice	The material in the Reveal program is more streamlined than in the Eureka material. Also, since the middle schools are utilizing the Reveal method, it will ease the transition into middle school math.
Second Choice	First Choice	The Reveal Math materials and assessments appear easier to understand with larger fonts and more precise directions, not to mention the more colorful artwork and the available videos.
Second Choice	First Choice	I loved the website for teachers, very easy to use.
Second Choice	First Choice	The Reveal curriculum is more interactive, engaging, and provides multiple online resources that the Eureka does not. Compared to the Eureka math we have now, this Eureka seems to lack a lot of what I used. It is not easy to follow and does not provide additional resources.
Second Choice	First Choice	After reviewing, The Florida Reveal Math seems to be exactly what my students need. The website is set up as very user-friendly. I believe our students would benefit more from Reveal.
Second Choice	First Choice	Reveal has in-depth explanation and practice that provides better comprehension for the population of students I work with--they are provided problems they can understand and are relatable to real life.
Second Choice	First Choice	The reason that I choose the Florida Reveal as my first choice is because it has more support materials for the teachers. It has learning games to help the students master a lesson while also enjoying what they are doing. While also having extra practice sheets and fluency. The assessments seem to align with the fast test in the way that they are worded which will better help the students while taking their pm tests. Each lesson in reveal has a specific learning target and also lists the objectives of each lesson, this can be helpful to the students and the teacher to keep them on track. This is alignment with what the county has been encouraging teachers to do daily with their groups. The bench marks for each lesson are clearly stated and it helps to ensure that the class is moving in the right direction. Digital platform is awesome it offers a lot of differentiation.
Second Choice	First Choice	The presentation of the book is great because it gives the information in a way that all learners can accept.

		2022-2023 K-5 Math Adoption Teacher Feedback	
		Please provide a comment regarding the reason for your ranking preference. For instance, what made your first choice stand out above your second choice?	
Rank Great Minds, Eureka Math2 Florida BEST according to your preference.	Rank McGraw-Hill Education, Florida Reveal Math according to your preference.	First Choice	I feel that even with the changes, Eureka is not as kid friendly, especially for K-3. I'd like the chance to try something new and possibly more beneficial for my students.
Second Choice	First Choice	First Choice	I like that it is very straight forward.
Second Choice	First Choice	First Choice	The overall material presentation is more engaging in the Reveal Math Curriculum. The readiness diagnostic with links to interventions stands out as a useful tool. Overall, the Reveal Math Curriculum has a good base of digital options to use.
Second Choice	First Choice	First Choice	McGraw-Hill is designed with the BEST Standards in mind. There is so much tweaking of the lessons for Eureka and it is too much of just print vs. color and interactive options with the McGraw-Hill. The students and parents need a change from Eureka.
Second Choice	First Choice	First Choice	I do think that the Eureka Squared would be a much easier transition for all involved, especially as most elementary aged students have ONLY used Eureka, however I really do like the fact that for Reveal Math there is a state assessment component. This would really benefit students with their preparations for state testing. I also really like the exit ticket component!
Second Choice	First Choice	First Choice	The layout, color photos, organization is teacher/student friendly
Second Choice	First Choice	First Choice	the pages and materials being presented to the students is clear and not overwhelming with too much on the page at once. It looks very student friendly. Lots of practice for the students.
Second Choice	First Choice	First Choice	After exploring the options, Reveal seems engaging for the students, easily able to differentiate, and customizable. It offers item analysis reports for assessments to help build small groups, weekly test prep, and allows students to self reflect on their daily learning. The option for online or paper/pencil learning is there as well for when students are absent for an extended period of time or need to make up any work.
Second Choice	First Choice	First Choice	The reason that I chose Florida Reveal Math as my first choice is that the program provides a holistic approach to math. It provides students an opportunity to do critical thinking about math, guided or activity based practice depending on the needs of our students for that particular lesson and scaffolds the lesson for ESL students etc. The program is user friendly and concise. It provides what we need to focus in on the lesson without being wordy, redundant or hosting wasted workbooks in unnecessary storage. A plus is that the website portal is also user friendly, with easy to navigate links and supplemental material.
Second Choice	First Choice	First Choice	I feel there are more resources available for both teachers and students with this curriculum. It seems very easy to navigate and is very engaging for students. I also like the way the content in this curriculum is presented. There is a "STEM in action" section incorporated at the beginning of every unit and I love that this helps students understand how the math they are learning today will help them in the real world.
Second Choice	First Choice	First Choice	Both materials were very high in most of the areas and where very similar on how they materials where taught. I chose the McGraw Hill materials as my first choice because it was much more student friendly in the work materials. MH stood out to me in the area of practice work. It gave the students multiple opportunities to master the standards. Also I liked the online platform much more. It was user friendly and laid out really well. I also liked that it had real world connection pieces with the online component that the Great minds did not have.
Second Choice	First Choice	First Choice	Eureka is not developmentally appropriate
Second Choice	First Choice	First Choice	After looking through both curriculums, I feel that Reveal Math is much more student friendly. My current second grade students struggle with the Eureka set-up.
Second Choice	First Choice	First Choice	Reveal Math is colorful and more engaging visually for students. It already breaks up the small group instruction ideas and provides differentiation, which we definitely need for our student population. It gives you the materials to reinforce and extend the lesson without having to go to other curriculums.
Second Choice	First Choice	First Choice	My first choice would be for Reveal Math. Each lesson provides small group remediation lessons, math games, and an advanced activity for advanced learners. Each lesson has a PPT too. There are also videos for teachers if they are a little "rusty" on the topic. I love it!!
Second Choice	First Choice	First Choice	I want something different than Eureka Math.
Second Choice	First Choice	First Choice	Although Eureka is engaging and fun for Kindergarten- it is a lot of prep, moves at a strange pace throughout the year and does not include a lot of pen to paper activities for the students. I liked how Reveal came with lots of paper-based assessments to show student comprehension as well as less prep for lessons. I would be okay with either curriculum but after some reflection, I think Reveal would be best for day to day teacher use with students. I worry that Eureka will burn teachers out on too much excess content.
Second Choice	First Choice	First Choice	The Florida Reveal is students friendly with visuals that will help all the students especially those who struggle and the ELL students. The online learning is easy to navigate and assign for the teachers as well.
Second Choice	First Choice	First Choice	Better rigor for students. The workbook is more inviting and interesting for students. Overall, it looks more kids friendly and usable than the Eureka workbooks.
Second Choice	First Choice	First Choice	The different options presented for students to demonstrate understanding of standards. This curriculum would be more beneficial for teaching students with disabilities.

Rank Great Minds, Eureka Math2, Florida BEST according to your preference.	Rank McGraw-Hill Education, Florida Reveal Math according to your preference.	2022-2023 K-5 Math Adoption Teacher Feedback Please provide a comment regarding the reason for your ranking preference. For instance, what made your first choice stand out above your second choice?
Second Choice	First Choice	McGraw-Hill seems to be more engaging and interactive. Both use very similar strategies but McGraw-Hill seems to offer more options to differentiate even the lesson to be taught.
Second Choice	First Choice	Reveal has lesson plans that are easy to navigate as well as easy to assign work for the students.
Second Choice	First Choice	I feel that we need to try a new Curriculum I do not like some of the ways that math is being taught in Eureka.
Second Choice	First Choice	Welcoming to kids (not as intimidating), seems more relevant to students
Second Choice	First Choice	I would like a series that has multiple options for all learners. Even though Eureka has appeared to make changes, I would prefer a different option to see if it would better suit our standards and student needs.
Second Choice	First Choice	McGraw-Hill is much more kid friendly. It also has really a great interactive technology piece for students. I also prefer the way the TE is set up in McGraw-Hill.
Second Choice	First Choice	More user friendly and closer to the actual benchmarks.
Second Choice	First Choice	Familiarity.
Second Choice	First Choice	I reviewed both of them and after using Eureka currently and reviewing the new Eureka, I found McGraw to be more kid friendly and user friendly as well. I liked that it DOES NOT start off with number bonds but starts off with more of a review of math facts and then we build up to number bonds. This helps with the "summer slide back" that we all know that students have when we first come back from summer. I enjoyed the break down of McGraw and extras that you can use if you do or don't have the time.
Second Choice	First Choice	I feel Eureka Math does not prepare students very well prior to ending grade 6.
Second Choice	First Choice	The McGraw-Hill Education, Florida Reveal Math stands out because it has components that Eureka does not seem to have. Such as, the differentiated levels, FRM has three differentiated levels to ensure student success. They include reinforcement with mini lessons for small groups, build, with digital and game stations, and extended with an application station to solve non-routine problems through three different categories. There is also an ELL component to ensure the success of all student needs. The assessments can be given digitally with grading included. There is also a digital program for K-5 students to reinforce skills as well. The program also has end of unit reviews, fluency practice, number routines, and teachers have options of lesson types based on your students' learning styles and abilities. Teachers have digital resources available to make planning more accessible. The student editions are in color and more visually appealing to students therefore keeping them more engaged in each lesson.
Second Choice	First Choice	I like the shorter units with clear objectives.
Second Choice	First Choice	I feel as if the McGraw - Hill is more age appropriate and aligns with the best standards more than the Great Minds
Second Choice	First Choice	My first choice stood out to me because of the assessment guide, the problems seemed more visual and not so much a reading test.
Second Choice	First Choice	There are several useful resources for this curricula, including STEM connections, video "game" connections, differentiation options among others.
Second Choice	First Choice	Prefer the presentation of Mc-Graw Hill - sequence of skills is more appropriate for our young ones.
Second Choice	First Choice	More user-friendly
Second Choice	First Choice	The content that I reviewed for Florida Reveal Math appeared to be more developmentally appropriate for primary students.
Second Choice	First Choice	McGraw-Hill is completely aligned to Florida's BEST standards.
Second Choice	First Choice	McGraw Hill has access point curriculum layed out so we can stop finding our own material. It also differentiates its online platform for our multi grade classrooms.
Second Choice	First Choice	I feel this curriculum is better suited to ESE students.
Second Choice	First Choice	Each year our students are low in number sense. I like the flow of the My Math. It starts out with addition concepts and then subtraction concepts. Eureka is more abstract and not concrete for young learners. McGraw also spends time on print practice of writing numbers. I think it will allow more time for small group instruction and math centers. Eureka has been tried for several years and it is very language rich. Many students since pandemic and our ELL learners struggle with Eureka. There are too many strategies and often exit tickets don't mirror the lesson.
Second Choice	First Choice	kid friendly
Second Choice	First Choice	Florida Reveal Math examples showed our First Graders starting off with basic math skills and then builds on from there. Our students are struggling with walking in on day one going straight into number bonds. They provide student friendly videos and a more student friendly workbook.
Second Choice	First Choice	McGraw-Hill is more user friendly. I loved the online portion for the kids. I also like that it is in color. Skills are pretty straight forward.
Second Choice	First Choice	Eureka's sequencing is not beneficial to Kindergarteners learning.
Second Choice	First Choice	McGraw Hill follows more inline with standards and what books they use in upper grades.

Rank Great Minds, Eureka Math2 Florida BEST according to your preference.	Rank McGraw-Hill Education, Florida Reveal Math according to your preference.	2022-2023 K-5 Math Adoption Teacher Feedback Please provide a comment regarding the reason for your ranking preference. For instance, what made your first choice stand out above your second choice?
Second Choice	First Choice	McGraw-Hill is more kid friendly with color in the students materials. There's a resource that students can take back and forth from school and home that has worked out examples and explanations on how to do the math for the lesson, rather than just the examples that were done in class.
Second Choice	First Choice	The first choice is colorful and grabs attention. It has more color and structure in the book itself too.
Second Choice	First Choice	I have chosen McGraw-Hill Education, Florida Reveal Math as my first choice because after reviewing both options I believe it offers the best curriculum for the diverse learner. As an ESE teacher, I am looking for lessons that offer both activity based exploration and guided exploration with teacher facilitated question answer format. I also love, love the eTool Kit of manipulatives.
Second Choice	First Choice	More resources are available through McGraw Hill, the digital access is helpful, and the ability to assign lessons online is beneficial.
Second Choice	First Choice	Kid Friendly
Second Choice	First Choice	Differentiated instructional pieces which included ELL and compact practice materials.
Second Choice	First Choice	Eureka math is too wordy for Kdg and 1st grade. Lets teach the concepts then students will be able to handle word problems
Second Choice	First Choice	The Reveal program seems more aligned and on par to the math level of my current and previous first graders.
Second Choice	First Choice	McGraw-Hill is better than Eureka.
Second Choice	First Choice	McGraw-Hill seems more user-friendly and kid-friendly
Second Choice	First Choice	Eureka is not as suitable as McGraw Hill for the ESE population that I teach.
Second Choice	First Choice	. I am new to Florida, but after teaching Eureka math for the past year, I am ready for something new and different. I think Eureka is all over the place, and requires a lot of hands on materials and set up that we do not have access to or time for. McGraw hill looks to have some more interactive components that are missing from Eureka.
Second Choice	First Choice	Material more colorful. Easier to follow for 1st graders. I like how each activity is spaced out. Time for a change...we've also used Eureka for too long.
Second Choice	First Choice	Developmentally appropriate for our second grade students.
Second Choice	First Choice	I liked how the student friendly content relates to the BEST standards.
Second Choice	First Choice	The Reveal Math has many great features as two options for each lesson, scaffolding options, videos to add learning, Spanish options, WIDA, great online program for assigning activities that will help create organization and data collection. Also, I have not been happy with Eureka in general.
Second Choice	First Choice	The ability for students to access on different platforms with or without wifi, material and assessments aligned to FI Best Standards with proper questioning stems, A Dashboard that provides an organized faster and easier access of graded, saved assignments, assessments, and exit tickets. The Remediation is provided and will enjoy the optional methods of presenting the concept development.
Second Choice	First Choice	The digital methods and resources help to eliminate the disruption of learning by providing a platform where assignments can be completed and submitted. No need for parents to pick up missed practices or assignments. There are virtual manipulatives, videos, etc Everything is located in platform.
Second Choice	First Choice	The digital part of the program for the students. After reviewing the information provided, my team and I discussed the positive and negative parts. The McGraw-Hill Education, Florida Reveal Math had a component for social emotional/peer learning at the beginning of the series. In this series, it seemed to be aligned more to what the county has been addressing in meetings. It seems to present the skills at a slower pace which allows the material to be more intentional. There is a diagnostic/pre-assessment before the unit that would allow us to teach knowing what concepts the students already have mastered or misconceptions. As we looked at the units, Unit 2 for example had 10 lessons to be taught for 16 days. This would allow for more remediation. After teaching Eureka for quite a few years, I have felt that it is a very fast paced curriculum and for children who come in with no vpk experience or background knowledge, it doesn't allow enough time to work on the early skills. The problem sets for kindergarten are hard for independent work by the students because it changes the skill during the one set of material. The students do not have the "reading" skills to know that the concept has changed; 1 more/ 1 less on the same page. The teacher has to stress the change which doesn't allow the independent work that allows us to have small groups.
Second Choice	First Choice	Lessons are standards based and streamlined; "on my own" appears to be student friendly with visual representations; pre-assessment is a great idea, digital versions are a great tool as well.

2022-2023 K-5 Math Adoption Teacher Feedback		
Please provide a comment regarding the reason for your ranking preference. For instance, what made your first choice stand out above your second choice?		
Rank Great Minds, Eureka Math2 Florida BEST according to your preference.	Rank McGraw-Hill Education, Florida Reveal Math according to your preference.	Eureka is my second choice because, it is hard to tell the difference between Eureka and Eureka2. Eureka does not stand out as much as the McGraw curriculum. I teach kindergarten and I think that the Florida Reveal Math curriculum is more developmentally appropriate for my students. The McGraw curriculum uses more kid friendly approaches with their pictures, videos, and their lesson pacing. Having shorter units with more time to complete the unit gives educators more time to remediate or strengthen the lesson. The McGraw curriculum also provides a pre assessment, which is extremely helpful so educators know which areas of the unit they need to focus on.
Second Choice	First Choice	I like the Florida Reveal Math because this options seems more student friendly but also provides differentiation of instruction for students. The material is more interactive and has both online and print components that allows for more student engagement. I love that the Florida Reveal Math has online videos and interactive STEM lessons that will also help build and provide extra practice for the students learning. The layout of the material is easy to find online and the student workbooks provide more critical thinking and hands on instruction than I felt like the Eureka math would have. The Florida Reveal Math also has the math replay options that I also found interesting because it is a short overview and reflection of what was taught that day. This will also provide a debrief, where students can ask additional questions for what lesson was taught. I also like that the student workbook is in color, and provides appropriate word problems that are kid friendly and not confusing. The Eureka Math2 was harder to navigate and did not have much student engagement in terms of online components and print materials.
Second Choice	First Choice	The materials are more visually engaging for students. Engagement is lacking in current materials, which makes engagement a bit lacking.
Second Choice	First Choice	I believe the pacing guide for the McGraw-Hill will allow for better remediation. I like that they have counting to 100 as a unit of lessons because it is a hard standard for the students to master. I also like that there is a pretest before each unit so that the teacher can focus more on what the student's struggle with and less on what they already know.
Second Choice	First Choice	The McGraw-Hill is more age appropriate, has colored pages and pictures to help explain concepts.
Second Choice	First Choice	It was difficult to compare the two, without a physical textbook, but the online component of Reveal Math was more user friendly. Additionally, the unit organization is more conducive to the order I believe 5th graders should be learning the standards. Most importantly having a unit of solely dedicated to algebraic reasoning will greatly benefit my students. I feel that is a weakness of Eureka. As a seasoned educator, I'm able to supplement to enhance my students' understanding.
Second Choice	First Choice	The new series seems much more kid friendly and interactive for my first graders.
Second Choice	First Choice	Spoke with other teacher's who are currently using it to see how they liked the program
Second Choice	First Choice	It seems more kid friendly and will be more effective to prepare 5th graders for what they use in the upper grades. Will students and teachers receive hard copies of the new curriculum or only digital? Will iReady still be used next year?
Second Choice	First Choice	After reviewing both and speaking with colleagues Florida Reveal looks like a well-rounded curriculum.
Second Choice	First Choice	McGraw-Hill appears to offer more resources to present and differentiate instruction.
Second Choice	First Choice	My first choice seemed like it had more resources available for students and teachers overall, including tiered instruction support.
Second Choice	First Choice	Eureka is lacking in many areas, especially in the early grades. The lessons are too lengthy and the workbooks are not attractive for younger students. McGraw-Hill has a more complete curriculum and uses lessons and workbooks which are more age appropriate and attractive for younger students
Second Choice	First Choice	After careful consideration, Reveal Math is the better choice for many reasons: 1. More inviting/colorful 2. Allows for longer pacing within units for slower-paced, complete instruction. 3. Allows for introduction of "Math" for Kindergartners in the first unit to ease new learners into the routine. Also, the SEL aspect of this introduction is pretty cool. :) 4. The Readiness Diagnostic would be a helpful tool to ensure students are ready to move on to the next unit. 5. Assessments are easy to read, before a student can recognize numerals. 6. Assessments are shorter than Eureka and more frequent to allow for more considerable progress monitoring. 7. After teaching Eureka for the past 5 years, I didn't see a big improvement in Eureka Squared. 8. Reveal Math's digital platform looked like it would be more user-friendly if we needed to go online again. (I taught Kindergarten Eureka through OCO.) 9. PLEASE pick Reveal Math!!! :) Pretty PUH-LEASE!!!
Second Choice	First Choice	Thank you!!!!
Second Choice	First Choice	Not the biggest fan of Eureka

Rank Great Minds, Eureka Math2 Florida BEST according to your preference.	Rank McGraw-Hill Education, Florida Reveal Math according to your preference.	2022-2023 K-5 Math Adoption Teacher Feedback Please provide a comment regarding the reason for your ranking preference. For instance, what made your first choice stand out above your second choice?
Second Choice	First Choice	<p>I truly really liked both options. They both have great components. I like the videos that come with Eureka and. They kid friendly without being overwhelming. Eureka is great but I chose the other curriculum because the site is much more easier to navigate. It also had great components. I liked that order and flow of the units. It all seemed very developmental appropriate.</p> <p>The digital resources available (assessments, workbooks, and STEM videos) as well as foldables to reinforce skills.</p> <p>Rigorous content/100% standards driven/ choices based on learning styles and students needs</p> <p>I liked the way the Reveal Math is laid out. It looked user friendly and teachable to young students.</p> <p>Having used Eureka the past 3 years, I do not find that it is kid friendly for the younger grades. It is also not very visually appealing. I felt that the McGraw-Hill was standards based and more user friendly. I also thought the interactive student lessons and like all of the online tools that it had to offer.</p> <p>More student friendly. Afraid Eureka won't come with the materials needed to teach effectively.</p>
Second Choice	First Choice	
Second Choice	First Choice	
Second Choice	First Choice	
Second Choice	First Choice	
Second Choice	First Choice	
Second Choice	First Choice	
Second Choice	First Choice	



2022-2023 K-5 Math Adoption

Preselection Committee Results

*Committees evaluated each submitted title using a rubric. The top titles listed below were sent to teachers for their feedback.

Grade	Course Title	Course Number	Title	Title
K	Grade Kindergarten Mathematics	5012020	Great Minds <i>Eureka Math Squared Florida B.E.S.T Edition</i>	McGraw Hill Education <i>Florida Reveal Math</i>
1	Grade One Mathematics	5012030	Great Minds <i>Eureka Math Squared Florida B.E.S.T Edition</i>	McGraw Hill Education <i>Florida Reveal Math</i>
2	Grade Two Mathematics	5012040	Great Minds <i>Eureka Math Squared Florida B.E.S.T Edition</i>	McGraw Hill Education <i>Florida Reveal Math</i>
3	Grade Three Mathematics	5012050	Great Minds <i>Eureka Math Squared Florida B.E.S.T Edition</i>	McGraw Hill Education <i>Florida Reveal Math</i>
4	Grade Four Mathematics	5012060	Great Minds <i>Eureka Math Squared Florida B.E.S.T Edition</i>	McGraw Hill Education <i>Florida Reveal Math</i>
5	Grade Five Mathematics	5012070	Great Minds <i>Eureka Math Squared Florida B.E.S.T Edition</i>	McGraw Hill Education <i>Florida Reveal Math</i>

2022-2023 K-5 Math Adoption Evaluation Ruberic							GRAND Total 255 Possible Points	
Grade	Product Title (Publisher's initials in parenthesis)	1. Content (45 pts)	2. Presentation (45 pts)	3. Instructional Focus (40 pts)	4. Assessment (35 pts)	5. Teacher Support (45 pts)	6. Accessibility (45 pts)	Overall Comment:
K	Accelerate Learning (AL) STEMscopes Florida Math (AL)	11	13	12	15	12	12	75
	Comments:	1.1 Can not find lesson scope and sequence	2.4 Unable to find in order to evaluate			5.9 Did not see in printed edition	6.1 no glossary 6.7 assessment only	Not teacher friendly, difficult to read, no coherence/flow, disorganized
K	Great Minds (GM) Eureka Math2 Florida BEST (GM)	29	27	27	13	29	15	140
	Comments:		2.2 * however, no paper-based assessments for Kindergarten		4.1 * no paper assessments made for Kindergarten (math team created for us)			It is an improvement from the original Eureka curriculum. The T.E is very user friendly, hands on learning for the students. We do wish there were more pencil/paper activities/assessments for the students in Kindergarten
K	McGraw-Hill Education (MHE) Florida Reveal Math (MHE)	27	24	22	21	25	17	136
	Comments:		Resources are not all in one place. Tech-rich if all students have access to online.		Assessments are only offered in English and Spanish. Auditory support is there if students have access.		Text only offered in Spanish. Did see online accessibility.	Comprehensive, interdisciplinary meets the standards, has several types of assessments (Benchmarks, 2 Unit Assessments, and Exit tickets.)

**Clay County District Schools Instructional Materials Adoption
Summary Recommendation Form**

Complete this form for each set of materials after review with the evaluation rubric.

K

Adoption: 2022-23 K-5 Math Adoption Subject/Course Name: Math

Publisher: Accelerate Learning Textbook/Program Title STEMscopes Florida Math

Strengths: Technology, customizable, meets standards

Weaknesses: Difficult to follow, not user-friendly, developmentally inappropriate

Comments: Would be completely overwhelming for most teachers and would require long-term, vulnerable work to form consensus

Committee Consensus:

OVERALL rating of this material compared to others reviewed for this course: 3

Explain your rating: Score was significantly lower than other 2 options

Online Evaluation Form Completed by: Wendy Wade

Committee Members:

PRINTED NAME	SCHOOL	SIGNATURE
Wendy Wade	RVE	Wendy Ann Wade
Jessica Willis	TBE	Jessica Willis
Robbin S. Williams	MCE	Robbin S. Williams
Sarah Martz	WEC	Sarah Martz
Asia Berry	DOE	Asia Berry

Date: 1-4-2023

* If more space is needed, use the back of the form.

**Clay County District Schools Instructional Materials Adoption
Summary Recommendation Form**

Complete this form for each set of materials after review with the evaluation rubric.

Adoption: 2022-23 K-5 Math Adoption Subject/Course Name: Math K

Publisher: Eureka Textbook/Program Title GM (Great Minds)

Strengths: very hands-on, playful learning for the students. TE is clear and thorough. Teacher friendly, lots of guides along the way with pictures/hints. Comes w/ manipulatives!

Weaknesses: Lots of prep of materials. Did not include a lot of paper-based assessments for Kindergarten (none), could use more worksheets as well. No ESOL/ELL support. Online portion was average - sometimes does not work.

Comments: Fun curriculum, great engaging lessons, lacking in assessment.

Committee Consensus:

OVERALL rating of this material compared to others reviewed for this course: #1 (128)

Explain your rating: we felt this curriculum met the most needs in teaching + aligned with the standards.

Online Evaluation Form Completed by: Sarah Martz

Committee Members:

PRINTED NAME	SCHOOL	SIGNATURE
Sarah Martz	W.E.C	<i>Sarah Martz 1-4-23</i>
Asia Berry	DOE	<i>Asia Berry</i>
Wendy Wade	RVE	<i>Wendy Ann Wade</i>
Jessica Willis	TBE	<i>Jessica Willis</i>
Robbin S. Williams	MCE	<i>Robbin S. Williams</i>

Date: 1-4-2023

* If more space is needed, use the back of the form.

Clay County District Schools Instructional Materials Adoption
Summary Recommendation Form

Complete this form for each set of materials after review with the evaluation rubric.

Adoption: 2022-23 K-5 Math Adoption Subject/Course Name: Math K

Publisher: McGraw Hill Textbook/Program Title Reveal

Strengths: Comprehensive, many activities for each standard.
Multiple assessments: benchmarks, exit tickets, unit a+b forms.
Nice flow and progression.

Weaknesses: Spanish was the only available second language.

Comments: _____

Committee Consensus:

OVERALL rating of this material compared to others reviewed for this course: 2

Explain your rating: Overall great program, slightly less rigor than our number 1 pick (seven point difference).

Online Evaluation Form Completed by: Jessica Willis

Committee Members:

PRINTED NAME	SCHOOL	SIGNATURE
Asia Berry	DOE	Asia Berry
Wendy Wade	RVE	Wendy Ann Wade
Jessica Willis	TBE	Jessica Willis
Robbin Williams	NCE	Robbin S. Williams
Sarah Martz	WEC	Sarah Martz

Date: 1/4/23

* If more space is needed, use the back of the form.

2022-2023 K-5 Math Adoption Evaluation Ruberic							GRAND Total 255 Possible Points	
Grade	Product Title (Publisher's initials in parenthesis)	1. Content (45 pts)	2. Presentation (45 pts)	3. Instructional Focus (40 pts)	4. Assessment (35 pts)	5. Teacher Support (45 pts)	6. Accessibility (45 pts)	Overall Comment:
1	Accelerate Learning (AL) STEMscopes Florida Math (AL)	19	16	17	16	18	19	105
	Comments:	Within each explore, standards are unclear. Large amount of information presented orally. Overwhelmingly organizationally. Unable to locate multiple representations, interdisciplinary connections. Appears to be developmentally inappropriate.	Student edition has no text features. No directions provided in full. Unable to determine if the teacher would be required to prepare additional materials, if technology-rich resources do not rely on the purchase of additional software or materials, cannot determine if the materials will integrate with each other. The material looks cheap. Minimal materials provided.	Very challenging for grade level. No self reflection. No organized routines unless teacher established. Not a lot of different strategies provided. No vocabulary able to be located- no problems provided in written form for students.	Unable to locate test banks.	Stated that the TE defines and encourages use of MTRs but could not locate. Could not locate supplementary materials.	No glossary in student book. No ELL or SLD support. Could not locate resources that provide scaffolding supports for ELL students. No captioning able to be located.	Would like a TE and a more physical layout progression of lessons to be taught.
1	Great Minds (GM) Eureka Math2 Florida BEST (GM)	23	23	24	18	23	19	130
	Comments:	We did not see representation of different ethnic groups/multicultural groups, etc. Connections to interdisciplinary groups were also not identified	Small group materials needed for differentiation	More vocabulary should be embedded within the student workbooks	We were unsure if there was a test bank of questions for teachers to pull from	We wish the TE was more directive of when/how/what page to use that correlates with the student editions		We wish there were more assessments that were aligned to the Big-M Instructional Tasks.
1	McGraw-Hill Education (MHE) Florida Reveal Math (MHE)	30	29	28	21	31	23	162
	Comments:	MTRS, standards listed next to ?, progression of learning, objectives not too much at one time real world questions SEL, ELA, STEM standards included goes beyond content	layouts are consistent	course diagnostic guided / activity exploration heavy emphasis on vocab.	only Spanish	in every lesson extension		first year teachers would need be directed on which pieces to use

**Clay County District Schools Instructional Materials Adoption
Summary Recommendation Form**

Complete this form for each set of materials after review with the evaluation rubric.

Adoption: 2022-23 K-5 Math Adoption Subject/Course Name: Math |

Publisher: McGraw-Hill Ed Textbook/Program Title Florida Reveal Math

Strengths: goes beyond content, layouts are consistent, gives many components, includes vocab

Weaknesses: doesn't offer many languages

Comments: very user friendly





Committee Consensus:

OVERALL rating of this material compared to others reviewed for this course: 1/3

Explain your rating: Reveal met and exceeded standards and teacher expectations

Online Evaluation Form Completed by: Morgan Bonnette

Committee Members:

PRINTED NAME	SCHOOL	SIGNATURE
Morgan Bonnette	WCC	
Ashley Gann	LAE	
K. Danielle Knotts	LES	
Kelsey Ivey	Prof. Develop	

Date: 1-4-23

* If more space is needed, use the back of the form.

**Clay County District Schools Instructional Materials Adoption
Summary Recommendation Form**

Complete this form for each set of materials after review with the evaluation rubric.

Adoption: 2022-23 K-5 Math Adoption Subject/Course Name: Math

Publisher: Great Minds Textbook/Program Title Eureka

Strengths: lessons aligned to standards, nicely layed out TE (materials prepped ahead of time)

Weaknesses: Lack of multicultural representation, small group differentiation not fully present, and assessments do not look like item specs.

Comments: _____

Committee Consensus:

OVERALL rating of this material compared to others reviewed for this course: 2 of 3

Explain your rating: great standard alignment, lacking assessments related to item specs.

Online Evaluation Form Completed by: Kelsey Ivey

Committee Members:

PRINTED NAME	SCHOOL	SIGNATURE
Kelsey Ivey	Prof. Develop.	Kelsey Ivey
Morgan Bonnette	WCC	Morgan Bonnette
K. Danielle Knotts	LES	D. Knotts
Ashley Gann	LAE	Ashley Gann

Date: 1/4/23

* If more space is needed, use the back of the form.

**Clay County District Schools Instructional Materials Adoption
Summary Recommendation Form**

Complete this form for each set of materials after review with the evaluation rubric.

Adoption: 2022-23 K-5 Math Adoption Subject/Course Name: Math 1

Publisher: Accelerate Learning Textbook/Program Title STEMscopes Florida Math

Strengths: Able to customize to align to benchmarks.
Assessments are aligned to the FL Best Standards.

Weaknesses: Very overwhelming trying to understand
each Explore lesson. Too much planning required
for each component. Unable to locate many
requirements on the evaluation rubric.

Comments: _____

Committee Consensus:

OVERALL rating of this material compared to others reviewed for this course: 3/3

Explain your rating: Not user friendly, Confusing layouts.

Online Evaluation Form Completed by: K. Danielle Knotts

Committee Members:

PRINTED NAME	SCHOOL	SIGNATURE
K. Danielle Knotts	LES	D. Knotts
Morgan Bonnette	WCC	MB
Ashley Gann	LAE	Ashley Gann
Kelsey Ivey	Prof. Develop.	Kelsey Ivey

Date: 1-4-23

* If more space is needed, use the back of the form.

2022-2023 K-5 Math Adoption Evaluation Ruberic							GRAND Total 255 Possible Points	
Grade	Product Title (Publisher's initials in parenthesis)	1. Content (45 pts)	2. Presentation (45 pts)	3. Instructional Focus (40 pts)	4. Assessment (35 pts)	5. Teacher Support (45 pts)	6. Accessibility (45 pts)	Overall Comment:
2	Accelerate Learning (AL) STEMscopes Florida Math (AL)	19	14	20	20	17	20	110
	Comments:	Addition and subtraction need a lot more time/lessons- it feels very rushed. The online technology platform is hard to navigate and not user-friendly. Regarding equity - some examples have no names, others are very basic.	There is a LOT of teacher prep involved in all the lessons (printing, cutting, laminating, putting things in baggies, etc.). There are no objectives/standards on student pages. Again, there is WAY TOO MUCH teacher prep involved to realistically follow this curriculum with fidelity.	If taught with fidelity, the activities could be thought-provoking and stimulating of intellectual curiosity, however, the activities all require a ton of teacher prep work and it is unlikely most teachers will be able to follow these lessons as written with fidelity.	We only found resources in English and Spanish - Haitian Creole would be helpful for some of our students.	The resources are mainly digital. The TE is not user friendly at all.	We only found Spanish and English (no Haitian Creole, etc.)	This curriculum is not at all teacher friendly. There is so much prep involved with all of the lessons. It would be unrealistic for most teachers to have the time to do print, cut, laminate, etc. all this stuff for every "explore" lesson. It is unlikely most teacher would be able to implement this with fidelity, and student learning would suffer.
2	Great Minds (GM) Eureka Math2 Florida BEST (GM)	30	30	24	22	33	27	166
	Comments:	Paced wonderfully. We loved how there were several real world connections.	We felt listened to here. There is even more flexibility when teaching. There are many resources.	Preassessments were very helpful to understand where the students were at the beginning. There are many word problems and scaffolding opportunities. This was the only curriculum that provided repetition and strengthened their prior knowledge throughout each lesson.	LOVED the oral assessments would be very helpful to ESE students, and other students that need that additional support with assessments.	The TE is very user friendly. Exactly what we wanted them to be. Everyone of use truly enjoyed the modifications made. All of them were positive.	We were told there will be multilingual additions. We couldn't see it during our time	We love love love Eureka's modifications and would be heartbroken if anything else was chosen.
2	McGraw-Hill Education (MHE) Florida Reveal Math (MHE)	25	25	22	20	29	26	147
	Comments:	Could use more complexity and practice (rigor). Graphs and charts are primarily in two units toward the end. Break down the meaning of the lesson at the beginning	No customization, must go with the order presented. Not enough practice, will have to prepare further. Print exit tickets, assign digitally. If he standards don't change	Not many--some.	Not enough open response.	The jump to third is more drastic		Not bad but not rigorous enough. Feel like we would need to find too much outside material for students that are higher.

Clay County District Schools Instructional Materials Adoption
Summary Recommendation Form

Complete this form for each set of materials after review with the evaluation rubric.

Adoption: 2022-23 K-5 Math Adoption Subject/Course Name: Math / 2nd

Publisher: Great Minds Textbook/Program Title Eureka Math

Strengths: Listen to all of the changes we suggested. More differentiation. Several resources. Much more user friendly.

Weaknesses: Extra practice sheets. Did get to see bilingual adjustments, or slides for any thing beyond Module 1.

Comments: _____

Committee Consensus:

OVERALL rating of this material compared to others reviewed for this course: _____

Explain your rating: 1 of 3 (Amanda Gelegan)

Online Evaluation Form Completed by: Amanda Gelegan

Committee Members:

PRINTED NAME	SCHOOL	SIGNATURE
Amanda Gelegan	SPC	<i>A Gelegan</i>
<i>Dishanté Fryer</i>	GPE	<i>D Fryer</i>
Lauren Quillin	MBE	<i>Lauren Quillin</i>
<i>Ghyllis Hale</i>	FIE	<i>Ghyllis Hale</i>

Date: 1/4/23

* If more space is needed, use the back of the form.

**Clay County District Schools Instructional Materials Adoption
Summary Recommendation Form**

Complete this form for each set of materials after review with the evaluation rubric.

Adoption: 2022-23 K-5 Math Adoption Subject/Course Name: Math | 2nd

Publisher: McGraw Hill Textbook/Program Title Florida Reveal Math

Strengths: easy to follow plans. Bright & inviting.

Weaknesses: Not enough rigor. Not enough opportunities for practice. Add place value did not show regrouping.

Comments: Are manipulatives included?





Committee Consensus:

OVERALL rating of this material compared to others reviewed for this course: 2 of 3

Explain your rating: Good and could work but not enough of a challenge to prepare for next grade level

Online Evaluation Form Completed by: Dishanté Fryer

Committee Members:

PRINTED NAME	SCHOOL	SIGNATURE
Dishanté Fryer	GPE	
Amanda Gelegan	SPC	
Lauren Quillin	MBE	
Phyllis Hale	FIE	

Date: 1/4/23

* If more space is needed, use the back of the form.

**Clay County District Schools Instructional Materials Adoption
Summary Recommendation Form**

Complete this form for each set of materials after review with the evaluation rubric.

Adoption: 2022-23 K-5 Math Adoption Subject/Course Name: 2nd Grade Math

Publisher: Accelerated Textbook/Program Title STEMscopes

Strengths: Learning interdisciplinary, gives many real-life scenarios/applications.

Weaknesses: Way too much teacher prep involved in lessons. The time involved to prep these lessons is unrealistic. The online platform is confusing to navigate.

Comments: _____

Committee Consensus:

OVERALL rating of this material compared to others reviewed for this course: 3 out of 3

Explain your rating: This was by far the worst of the 3 curriculums we reviewed today.

Online Evaluation Form Completed by: lauren.quillin@myoneclay.net

Committee Members:

PRINTED NAME	SCHOOL	SIGNATURE
Lauren Quillin	MBE	Lauren Quillin
Amanda Gelegan	SPC	A. Gelegan
Dishanté Fryer	GPE	Dishanté Fryer
Phyllis Hale	FIE	Phyllis Hale

Date: 1/4/23

* If more space is needed, use the back of the form.

Grade	Product Title (Publisher's initials in parenthesis)	2022-2023 K-5 Math Adoption Evaluation Ruberic						GRAND Total 255 Possible Points
		1. Content (45 pts)	2. Presentation (45 pts)	3. Instructional Focus (40 pts)	4. Assessment (35 pts)	5. Teacher Support (45 pts)	6. Accessibility (45 pts)	
3	Accelerate Learning (AL) STEMscopes Florida Math (AL)	16	17	11	20	14	18	96
	Comments:			This is more of a supplemental resource not a main. Good for high flyers	more language options need to be available without google translate		things need to be provided in other languages	NOT a good option
3	Great Minds (GM) Eureka Math2 Florida BEST (GM)	29	28	27	21	29	25	159
	Comments:				needs to be in more languages without using google translate			Our First choice
3	McGraw-Hill Education (MHE) Florida Reveal Math (MHE)	27	27	25	21	28	24	152
	Comments:						needs to be available in other languages without google translate	Our number 2 choice

**Clay County District Schools Instructional Materials Adoption
Summary Recommendation Form**

Complete this form for each set of materials after review with the evaluation rubric.

Adoption: 2022-23 K-5 Math Adoption Subject/Course Name: ~~STEM scopes~~ ^{3rd} math

Publisher: Accelerate Learning Textbook/Program Title STEM scopes

Strengths: hands on, great online activities, good for small groups for high flyers

Weaknesses: No TE, confusing, no review of skills, overwhelming,

Comments: _____






Committee Consensus:

OVERALL rating of this material compared to others reviewed for this course: #3

Explain your rating: it supplemental material - for high flyers - not good core.

Online Evaluation Form Completed by: Lisa Kazmierczak

Committee Members:

PRINTED NAME	SCHOOL	SIGNATURE
Lisa Kazmierczak	WEC	
Tracina Willis	DOE	
Randi Cox	DOE	
Jessica Muffley	PES	
Michelle Cambron	TES	

Date: 01/04/23

* If more space is needed, use the back of the form.

Clay County District Schools Instructional Materials Adoption Summary Recommendation Form

Complete this form for each set of materials after review with the evaluation rubric.

Adoption: 2022-23 K-5 Math Adoption Subject/Course Name: Math 3rd

Publisher: Great Minds Textbook/Program Title Eureka Math²

Strengths: _____

Weaknesses: _____

Comments: _____





Committee Consensus:

OVERALL rating of this material compared to others reviewed for this course: #1

Explain your rating: aligns best with standards & provides a great transition from Eureka to Eureka².

Online Evaluation Form Completed by: Lisa Kazmierczak

Committee Members:

PRINTED NAME	SCHOOL	SIGNATURE
Tracina Willis	DOE	
Randi Cox	DOE	
Jessica Muffley	PES	Jessica Muffley
Michelle Cambroh	TES	
Lisa Kazmierczak	WEC	

Date: 01/04/23

* If more space is needed, use the back of the form.

**Clay County District Schools Instructional Materials Adoption
Summary Recommendation Form**

Complete this form for each set of materials after review with the evaluation rubric.

Adoption: 2022-23 K-5 Math Adoption Subject/Course Name: Math 3rd

Publisher: McGraw Hill Textbook/Program Title Florida Reveal

Strengths: Visually appealing, has many real world connections, aligns with B.E.S.T. standards, great small group /center activities, structured/organized well, great interactive digital resources.

Weaknesses: lacks rigor

Comments: _____

Committee Consensus:

OVERALL rating of this material compared to others reviewed for this course: 2

Explain your rating: The majority of the table felt that this curriculum was checked a lot of boxes that were needed in a Math curriculum, but that another program provided more rigor.

Online Evaluation Form Completed by: Lisa Kazmierczak

Committee Members:

PRINTED NAME	SCHOOL	SIGNATURE
Michelle Cambron	TES	<i>Michelle Cambron</i>
Jessica Muffley	PES	<i>Jessica Muffley</i>
Randi Cox	DOE	<i>RCX</i>
Tracina Willis	DOE	<i>Tracina Willis</i>
Lisa Kazmierczak	WEC	<i>Lisa Kazmierczak</i>

Date: 01/04/23

* If more space is needed, use the back of the form.

2022-2023 K-5 Math Adoption Evaluation Ruberic							GRAND Total 255 Possible Points	
Grade	Product Title (Publisher's initials in parenthesis)	1. Content (45 pts)	2. Presentation (45 pts)	3. Instructional Focus (40 pts)	4. Assessment (35 pts)	5. Teacher Support (45 pts)	6. Accessibility (45 pts)	Overall Comment:
4	Accelerate Learning (AL) STEMscopes Florida Math (AL)	26	21	23	18	24	20	132
	Comments:	The length of each lesson would be helpful (ie. Explore 1, is that a 1 day activity or will it go longer?)	Professional Development will be key for success. There is a lot of prep needed to complete the lessons. The lack of question numbers will make it confusing for students to follow.		Only available in Spanish, other languages would be helpful.	An actual teacher addition to look at would have been more helpful.	Only available in Spanish.	Professional Development will be necessary to understand the exact layout of each lesson.
4	Great Minds (GM) Eureka Math2 Florida BEST (GM)	25	22	23	16	24	17	127
	Comments:		We would like access to more practice problems for students struggling or remediation pages. Purchasing the Apply Book would be nice!		Only Spanish is available and having other language options would be nice			Overall it is very efficient, we would like to have the Apply book as well as the learn and TE. There are more scaffolding/practice questions that would be helpful for remediation/homework/extra practice.
4	McGraw-Hill Education (MHE) Florida Reveal Math (MHE)	20	13	13	16	21	12	95
	Comments:							Our team did not prefer FI Reveal.

**Clay County District Schools Instructional Materials Adoption
Summary Recommendation Form**

Complete this form for each set of materials after review with the evaluation rubric.

Adoption: 2022-23 K-5 Math Adoption Subject/Course Name: Math 4th

Publisher: Accelerate Learning, Inc Textbook/Program Title Stemscopes FL Math

Strengths: Had a lot of cross-content materials. Ancillary was easy to find and use. Lots of center material

Weaknesses: The lesson design is not clear and we would need a lot of training.

Comments: Professional Development is a MUST!

Committee Consensus:

OVERALL rating of this material compared to others reviewed for this course: 1 of 3

Explain your rating: We liked the online navigation and ancillary materials.

Online Evaluation Form Completed by: Angela Cox

Committee Members:

PRINTED NAME	SCHOOL	SIGNATURE
Angela Cox	POE	<i>Angela Cox</i>
Angela Hersey	MRE	<i>Angela Hersey</i>
Michelle Armstrong	TBE	<i>Michelle Armstrong</i>
Cynthia Mayton	PES	<i>Cyndi Mayton</i>
Stacy Cordel	WEC	<i>Stacy Cordel</i>

Date: 1/4/23

** If more space is needed, use the back of the form.*

Clay County District Schools Instructional Materials Adoption
Summary Recommendation Form

Complete this form for each set of materials after review with the evaluation rubric.

Adoption: 2022-23 K-5 Math Adoption Subject/Course Name: Math 4

Publisher: Great Minds Textbook/Program Title Eureka Math²

Strengths: builds number sense, scaffolding in the workbooks, interactive on-line and fluency component

Weaknesses: more practice would be nice, remediation learning pages for struggling students

Comments: We want the Apply Book if this is purchased.
Training will be needed to completely understand how to utilize the aspects.

Committee Consensus:
OVERALL rating of this material compared to others reviewed for this course: tied 1 of 3
Explain your rating: We would be happy with either and our team was divided.

Online Evaluation Form Completed by: Stacy Cordel

Committee Members:

PRINTED NAME	SCHOOL	SIGNATURE
Stacy Cordel	WEC	Stacy Cordel
Michelle Armstrong	TBE	Michelle Armstrong
Angela Hersey	MRE	Angela Hersey
Cynthia Mayton	PES	Cynthia Mayton
Angela Cox	POE	Angela Cox

Date: 1/4/23

* If more space is needed, use the back of the form.

**Clay County District Schools Instructional Materials Adoption
Summary Recommendation Form**

Complete this form for each set of materials after review with the evaluation rubric.

Adoption: 2022-23 K-5 Math Adoption Subject/Course Name: 4 math

Publisher: McGrawhill Textbook/Program Title Florida Reveal

Strengths: This has skill and drill.

Weaknesses: more than one strategy but weak in the area of rigor.

Comments: _____

Committee Consensus:

OVERALL rating of this material compared to others reviewed for this course: 3 of 3

Explain your rating: Does not align with exact language of the FAST. Low rigor

Online Evaluation Form Completed by: Cynthia Mayton@myoneclay.net

Committee Members:

PRINTED NAME	SCHOOL	SIGNATURE
Cynthia Mayton	PES	C Mayton
Angela Hersey	MRE	Angela Hersey
Stacy Cordel	WEC	Stacy Cordel
Michelle Armstrong	TBE	Michelle Armstrong
Angela Cox	POE	Angela Cox

Date: 1/4/23

* If more space is needed, use the back of the form.

2022-2023 K-5 Math Adoption Evaluation Ruberic							GRAND Total 255 Possible Points	
Grade	Product Title (Publisher's initials in parenthesis)	1. Content (45 pts)	2. Presentation (45 pts)	3. Instructional Focus (40 pts)	4. Assessment (35 pts)	5. Teacher Support (45 pts)	6. Accessibility (45 pts)	Overall Comment:
5	Accelerate Learning (AL) STEMscopes Florida Math (AL)	27	25	23	18	22	21	136
	Comments:	Hard to navigate, easy to get lost in the platform online.	Hard to navigate.	We liked that the student assessment workbook has a standards progress tracker.	Wish there was a diagnostic assessment.	Online platform was so much more expansive but difficult to navigate.		Liked the real world connections for students but found it very difficult to prep, plan and implement due to difficulty navigating the platform and TE provided.
5	Great Minds (GM) Eureka Math2 Florida BEST (GM)	27	25	24	21	25	26	148
	Comments:	We did not see examples of line graphs.		We wish there was more math and academic vocabulary (glossary).		Our team could not find enrichment materials.	No student glossary provided. We could not access languages other than English and Spanish and we could not find the other language resources.	Our team liked this resource the best because we believe it is to the rigor of the BEST Standards.
5	McGraw-Hill Education (MHE) Florida Reveal Math (MHE)	26	28	23	23	26	23	149
	Comments:	Lack of writing opportunities to explain thinking.	We believe that place value should come first.	We liked the math and academic vocabulary but we feel there are limited challenges for student thinking. We do not feel that it is rigorous enough.	We did not see the auditory support available.		We only say Spanish as another language besides English for the supplemental materials.	Our team liked the supplemental materials provided but we are concerned about the level of rigor in this resource.

**Clay County District Schools Instructional Materials Adoption
Summary Recommendation Form**

Complete this form for each set of materials after review with the evaluation rubric.

Adoption: 2022-23 K-5 Math Adoption Subject/Course Name: math 5

Publisher: Great minds Textbook/Program Title Eureka math 2

Strengths: Rigor, fluency, cyclical, apply book for families to relate to concepts, many assessment options

Weaknesses: wish there was a preassessment for each module

Comments: would be a smooth transition from current curriculum

Committee Consensus:

OVERALL rating of this material compared to others reviewed for this course: 1 of 3

Explain your rating: Easy to navigate, many features, improved platform and assessments, continuous rigor we currently have

Online Evaluation Form Completed by: Natalie Pelesko

Committee Members:

PRINTED NAME	SCHOOL	SIGNATURE
Natalie Pelesko	CGE	Natalie Pelesko
Carly Pacheco	TBE	Carly Pacheco
Dawn King	MBE	Dawn King
Amanda Borum	DIS	Amanda Borum

Date: 1-4-23

* If more space is needed, use the back of the form.

Clay County District Schools Instructional Materials Adoption
Summary Recommendation Form

Complete this form for each set of materials after review with the evaluation rubric.

Adoption: 2022-23 K-5 Math Adoption Subject/Course Name: Math 5

Publisher: McGraw Hill Textbook/Program Title Florida Reveal Math

Strengths: Beautiful layout, well organized, student self reflections included, pre and post assessments for each unit

Weaknesses: Rigor and critical thinking development

Comments: Well organized and laid out but feels like a step back from current level of rigor in use.

Committee Consensus:

OVERALL rating of this material compared to others reviewed for this course: 2 of 3

Explain your rating: Easier to use than STEMscopes not rigorous enough compared to eureka squared.

Online Evaluation Form Completed by: Natalie Pelesko

Committee Members:

PRINTED NAME	SCHOOL	SIGNATURE
Natalie Pelesko	CGE	Natalie Pelesko
Carly Pacheco	TBE	Carly Pacheco
Dawn King	MBE	Dawn King
Amanda Portum	DIS	Amanda Portum

Date: 1/4/23

* If more space is needed, use the back of the form.

**Clay County District Schools Instructional Materials Adoption
Summary Recommendation Form**

Complete this form for each set of materials after review with the evaluation rubric.

Adoption: 2022-23 K-5 Math Adoption Subject/Course Name: Grade 5 Math

Publisher: Accelerate Textbook/Program Title Stemscopes FL Math

Strengths: Real world connections and multiple opportunities for exploration of math concepts

Weaknesses: Navigation was challenging. Difficult to find ~~that~~ features. Many options overwhelming.





Comments: Great for authentic problem solving but difficult to prep, plan and implement. Didn't notice enrichment.

Committee Consensus:

OVERALL rating of this material compared to others reviewed for this course: 3 out of 3
Explain your rating: Other options had easier navigation features.

Online Evaluation Form Completed by: Amanda Borum

Committee Members:

PRINTED NAME	SCHOOL	SIGNATURE
Amanda Borum	DIS	
Dawn King	MBE	
Carly Pacheco	TBE	
Natalie Pelesko	CGE	

Date: 1/4/23

* If more space is needed, use the back of the form.



2022-2023 K-5 Math Adoption

District Specialists and Coaches Results

*Committees evaluated each submitted title using a rubric. The top titles listed below were sent to the Preselection Committee for their feedback.

Grade	Course Title	Course Number	Title	Title	Title
K	Grade Kindergarten Mathematics	5012020	Accelerate Learning <i>STEMscopes Florida Math</i>	Great Minds <i>Eureka Math Squared Florida B.E.S.T Edition</i>	McGraw Hill Education <i>Florida Reveal Math</i>
1	Grade One Mathematics	5012030	Accelerate Learning <i>STEMscopes Florida Math</i>	Great Minds <i>Eureka Math Squared Florida B.E.S.T Edition</i>	McGraw Hill Education <i>Florida Reveal Math</i>
2	Grade Two Mathematics	5012040	Accelerate Learning <i>STEMscopes Florida Math</i>	Great Minds <i>Eureka Math Squared Florida B.E.S.T Edition</i>	McGraw Hill Education <i>Florida Reveal Math</i>
3	Grade Three Mathematics	5012050	Accelerate Learning <i>STEMscopes Florida Math</i>	Great Minds <i>Eureka Math Squared Florida B.E.S.T Edition</i>	McGraw Hill Education <i>Florida Reveal Math</i>
4	Grade Four Mathematics	5012060	Accelerate Learning <i>STEMscopes Florida Math</i>	Great Minds <i>Eureka Math Squared Florida B.E.S.T Edition</i>	McGraw Hill Education <i>Florida Reveal Math</i>
5	Grade Five Mathematics	5012070	Accelerate Learning <i>STEMscopes Florida Math</i>	Great Minds <i>Eureka Math Squared Florida B.E.S.T Edition</i>	McGraw Hill Education <i>Florida Reveal Math</i>

Score								
Publisher Name	Product Title (Publisher's initials in parenthesis)	1. Content	2. Presentation	3. Instructional Focus	4. Assessment	5. Teacher Support	6. Accessibility	Grand Total
Houghton Mifflin Harcourt (HMH)	Florida's BEST Go Math! (HMH)	19 out of 45 Standards are taught in isolation. There are standards that are taught for a single day and not revisited.	25 out of 45 Materials are well organized, but not every standards is covered to the depth necessary for understanding and mastery.	10 out of 40 No evidence of higher order questioning. Lists MTR 4, however the activity listed for that MTR is not student directed. Highlights vocabulary but not used or applied in context.	10 out of 35 Only language support was in Spanish.	14 out of 45 Student materials are ample, however we felt they lacked depth and conceptual understanding of the math. Remedial lessons are additional worksheets not activities for students to gain understanding of the math standards.	20 out of 45 There was multilingual support and a glossary in many languages.	98 out of 255 We would not recommend this for instructional use
Savvas Learning (SL)	enVision Florida BEST Mathematics (SL)	23 out of 45 DP.1.2 Tables or Line plots are not represented. AR.2.4 Lesson 13.5 gives that equation rather than students writing it out. Only was hard to navigate	22 out of 45 Appreciated the content overview "Pie" however the lessons were overload of materials. Could not do it all in one day.	17 out of 40 17 out of 40	17 out of 35	18 out of 45 The readability of the Teacher Edition was poor. There was too much to navigate and it would not be able to be taught without much preplanning	18 out of 45	115 out of 255 We would not recommend this for instructional use
Great Minds (GM)	Eureka Math2 Florida BEST (GM)	25 out of 45 Lessons are aligned to FI Benchmarks. Lessons spiral to revisit benchmarks and connect to other benchmarks while reviewing.	25 out of 45 The readability is high and easy for teachers and students to navigate. Huge improvement.	21 out of 40 The K-2 readability level and vocabulary development is appropriate with CVC words in the word problems. There is also not too much on a page.	16 out of 35 The use of daily exit tickets allows for checks for understanding and alignment to FL benchmarks	17 out of 45	18 out of 45	122 out of 255 Would recommend Eureka Math Squared for instructional use
National Geographic Learning (NGL)	Florida's BEST Standards for Math (NGL)	24 out of 45 Lessons are aligned to grade level Florida BEST Math Standards- In first and second grades we could not find evidence of plotting numbers on a number line.	24 out of 45 The resources do not seem complete without any daily or weekly check for understanding. Only Chapter tests. Would recommend exit tickets	16 out of 40 Students are working mainly out of a workbook and not as predominantly with manipulatives or materials to gain concrete understanding on concepts.	15 out of 35 Offered in Spanish. More assessment options/formative checks would be beneficial.	21 out of 45	18 out of 45	118 out of 255 The use of learning targets and success criteria was strong throughout. There was a lack of manipulative use for students as well as missing a daily or weekly check for understanding.

Score								
Publisher Name	Product Title (Publisher's initials in parenthesis)	1. Content	2. Presentation	3. Instructional Focus	4. Assessment	5. Teacher Support	6. Accessibility	Grand Total
McGraw-Hill Education (MHE)	Florida Reveal Math (MHE)	22 out of 45 Units begin with grade level below benchmarks. In 5th Grade DP the focus is on line plot not labeling.	24 out of 45 Students have practice book and an exit ticket book. These books are missing the standards and learning target/objective listed.	15 out of 40 There is a clear learning progression. Students have an "on my own" and "error analysis." Overall the readability is low with too many words on a page and not a clear direction for learning.	20 out of 35 There are standards listed on assessment questions. There is also a pre-assessment and diagnostic before teaching a chapter. There is the ability to build assessments.	18 out of 45 There are extend thinking, be curious, and reflection questions for students.	20 out of 45 Available in Spanish as well as include tools to support such as graphic organizers, vocabulary, pre teach and re teach.	119 out of 255 There are a plethora of teacher materials for implementation and assessment. It is difficult to navigate what to use and what not to use. There was a lack of readability through the Teachers edition and the student books.
Accelerate Learning (AL)	STEMscopes Florida Math (AL)	25 out of 45 Scopes have multiple activities and hands on lessons. The pacing and sequence of lessons was not clear and difficult to follow.	22 out of 45 The bulk of the scopes are online and there is a large need for teachers to navigate lessons in the online format. The TE was not as user friendly as the online	19 out of 40 The scopes list connecting benchmarks and higher order thinking questions.	17 out of 35 Multiple opportunities for checks for understanding and summative assessments!	17 out of 45	18 out of 45	118 out of 255 Scopes have multiple activities and hands on lessons that foster higher order thinking and high engagement. The lessons would take planning and preparation daily and the length of scopes was unclear.



2022-2023 K-5 Math Adoption Rubrics

Rubrics included in this section:

- Grades K-5 Math Adoption Rubric used by specialists, coaches, and Preselection Committee to evaluate materials.

Clay County District Schools		2022-2023 K-5 Mathematics Evaluation Rubric		Copyright Date:		Grade Band/Content Area:					
Publisher:		1= Does not meet the Standards		2= Somewhat meets the Standards		3= Meets the Standards		4= More than meets the Standards		5= Far exceeds the Standards	
1. CONTENT		RATING		COMMENTS		RATING		COMMENTS			
1.1 Lessons are aligned to grade level Florida BEST Math Standards.		1	2	3	4	5					
1.2 The cognitive complexity of the content matches the grade level standard and clarifications.		1	2	3	4	5					
1.3 The amount of content presented at one time, or the pace at which it is presented, allows students to perceive and understand it.		1	2	3	4	5					
1.4 There are multiple representations of mathematical concepts, procedures, and relationships (graphs, charts, etc.)		1	2	3	4	5					
1.5 The content makes connections to the student's real-world contexts in order to make it more meaningful.		1	2	3	4	5					
1.6 There are interdisciplinary connections made within the content.		1	2	3	4	5					
1.7 The online technology component correlates to both content and standards.		1	2	3	4	5					
1.8 The sequence of learning is educationally sound and developmentally appropriate.		1	2	3	4	5					
1.9 The content portrays equity among gender, ethnicity, age, work situations, and multicultural groups.		1	2	3	4	5					
Section Subtotal:											
2. PRESENTATION		RATE		COMMENTS		RATE		COMMENTS			
2.1 The order of the content (e.g. chapters/modules) is customizable by the district to align to the benchmarks.		1	2	3	4	5					
2.2 Components and materials available for the teacher are easy to use.		1	2	3	4	5					

2.3 Resources are complete enough to address the targeted learning outcomes without requiring the teacher to prepare additional materials.	1	2	3	4	5	
2.4 The text is supported by text features such as table of contents, index, goals/objectives, etc.	1	2	3	4	5	
2.5 Student resources are complete, labeled correctly, and provide directions that are easily followed.	1	2	3	4	5	
2.6 Technology-rich resources do NOT rely on the purchase of additional software or materials.	1	2	3	4	5	
2.7 The materials (primary and ancillary) are integrated with one another.	1	2	3	4	5	
2.8 The materials likely hold up for a 5-year adoption.	1	2	3	4	5	
2.9 The materials are designed for practical classroom use and storage.	1	2	3	4	5	
Section Subtotal:						
3. INSTRUCTIONAL FOCUS (LEARNING)	RATE					
3.1 The materials specify and address prerequisite knowledge and/or skills necessary to the learning of the new concept.	1	2	3	4	5	
3.2 The activities, tasks, or approaches within the lessons are challenging, thought-provoking, and stimulating of intellectual curiosity.	1	2	3	4	5	
3.3 The materials provide guidance and support to help students safely and successfully take ownership of their learning.	1	2	3	4	5	
3.4 Scaffolding resources are provided through organized routines, advanced organizers, prompts, step-by-step instructions, immediate and corrective feedback, and opportunities for discovery.	1	2	3	4	5	
3.5 The lessons include oral and written activities ranging from basic skills to applications.	1	2	3	4	5	
3.6 Lessons include many examples of strategies that apply to targeted skills.	1	2	3	4	5	
3.7 The readability level and vocabulary development is appropriate.	1	2	3	4	5	
Section Subtotal:						
COMMENTS						

4. ASSESSMENT	RATE	COMMENTS
4.1 The assessments are aligned to the Florida BEST Standards for Mathematics.	1 2 3 4 5	
4.2 The assessments are offered in Spanish and other languages (such as Haitian Creole).	1 2 3 4 5	
4.3 There is auditory support available for those with a low reading level in English and Spanish.	1 2 3 4 5	
4.4 There are a variety of assessments for each chapter or unit including: multiple choice, open response, and interactive labs that assess the complexity of the benchmark.	1 2 3 4 5	
4.5 There are formative and summative assessments ranging from diagnostic to evaluative.	1 2 3 4 5	
4.6 There are electronic assessments aligned to standards, chapters, or units, that can be customized by the teacher, including items from test banks.	1 2 3 4 5	
4.7 There are resources and guidelines for alternate assessments, answer guides, sample project guides, rubrics, etc... provided for teachers.	1 2 3 4 5	
Section Subtotal:		
5. TEACHER SUPPORT MATERIALS	RATE	COMMENTS
5.1 The Teacher's Edition (TE) is well organized, comprehensive, and easy to use.	1 2 3 4 5	
5.2 The TE has materials that define and encourage the use of critical thinking skills in clear alignment with the Mathematical Thinking and Reasoning Standards (MTRs).	1 2 3 4 5	
5.3 The teacher support materials provide background and content knowledge.	1 2 3 4 5	
5.4 The TE includes suggestions for demonstrating and/or modeling skills and concepts.	1 2 3 4 5	
5.5 There are sample questions included to guide higher order thinking.	1 2 3 4 5	
5.6 The supplementary materials (manuals, workbooks, online resources, etc.) provide remediation resources for lower achieving students and enrichment for higher achieving students that align to lesson objectives.	1 2 3 4 5	
5.7 The teacher support materials provide a balance of online and print resources for scaffolding.	1 2 3 4 5	

5.8 The ancillary materials support lesson planning and a vertical learning progression.	1	2	3	4	5	
Section Subtotal:						
6. ACCESSIBILITY FOR ELL AND SWD STUDENTS	RATE					COMMENTS
6.1 The text is offered in Spanish and other languages in print and online.	1	2	3	4	5	
6.2 The supplemental resources are offered in Spanish and other languages.	1	2	3	4	5	
6.3 The teacher resources provide sensory, graphic, and interactive supports relevant to concept attainment for students to advance within the benchmarks.	1	2	3	4	5	
6.4 There is a student glossary available in several languages.	1	2	3	4	5	
6.5 The supplemental materials identify essential points of instruction to support math comprehension for students with disabilities.	1	2	3	4	5	
6.6 The teacher resources provide scaffolding supports for ELL students.	1	2	3	4	5	
6.7 Text-to-speech tools are included or text can be selected and used with text-to-speech utilities.	1	2	3	4	5	
6.8 Assistive technology software can be run in the background for assignments and assessments (examples include magnification, text-to-speech software, text-to-American Sign Language software, text-to-Braille software, on screen keyboards, and speech-to-text computer control).	1	2	3	4	5	
6.9 Videos are captioned in English, Spanish, and other languages.	1	2	3	4	5	
Section Subtotal:						
CATEGORY TOTALS						
						TOTALS
1. CONTENT						
2. PRESENTATION						

3. INSTRUCTIONAL FOCUS (LEARNING)	
4. ASSESSMENT	
5. TEACHER SUPPORT MATERIALS	
6. ACCESSIBILITY FOR ELL AND SWD STUDENTS	
Grand Total	