

**IMPLICATIONS TO LEVEL OF SERVICE
SCHOOL DISTRICT OF CLAY COUNTY
PLANNING DOCUMENT PRESENTED
TO SCHOOL BOARD ON JANUARY 18, 2007
INITIAL PLANNING TIMEFRAME: 2007/08 – 2011/12**

1. RECOMMENDED LEVEL OF SERVICE

ELEMENTARY	110%
JUNIOR HIGH	100%
HIGH	110%

**RESOLVES CONCURRENCY OVER-CAPACITY ISSUES WITH CEBE, LAE,
RVE AND FIHS**

2. NEW SCHOOL REQUIREMENTS

- 1. ELEMENTARY "W" – 2008/09**
- 2. ELEMENTARY "X" – 2009/10**
- 3. ELEMENTARY "Z" – 2008/09**
- 4. ELEMENTARY "Y" – 2011/12**
- 5. JUNIOR HIGH "PP" – 2011/12**
- 6. HIGH SCHOOL "QQQ" – 2010/11**

3. CEBE, RVE

**ARE OVER-CAPACITY BECAUSE THEIR PROJECTED ENROLLMENT IS
GREATER THAN 100% LOS**

ALTERNATIVES

- 1. REDISTRICT TO REDUCE ENROLLMENT**
- 2. INCREASE LOS STANDARD TO 110%**
- 3. CONSTRUCT ADDITION TO CAFÉ TO INCREASE CAPACITY**

RECOMMENDATION

INCREASE LOS STANDARD TO 110% FOR IMMEDIATE SOLUTION

4. TBE

**IS OVER-CAPACITY BECAUSE THE PROJECTED ENROLLMENT IS GREATER
THAN 110% LOS**

ALTERNATIVES

- 1. REDISTRICT TO REDUCE ENROLLMENT**
- 2. INCREASE LOS STANDARD TO 110%**
- 3. CONSTRUCT ADDITIONAL SCHOOLS:**
 - A) ELEMENTARY "R" – TBE, PES**
 - B) ELEMENTARY "L" – TBE, PES AND FIE**

RECOMMENDATION

PLACE ON LONG RANGE CORRECTIVE PLAN

IMPLICATIONS TO LEVEL OF SERVICE

PAGE 2

5. **DIS, KHE, MBE**
ARE OVER-CAPACITY BECAUSE THEIR PROJECTED ENROLLMENT IS GREATER THAN 110% LOS

ALTERNATIVES

1. **REDISTRIBUTE TO REDUCE ENROLLMENT**
2. **CONSTRUCT ADDITION TO CAFÉ TO INCREASE CAPACITY**

RECOMMENDATION

PLACE ON LONG RANGE CORRECTIVE PLAN

6. **LAE**
IS OVER-CAPACITY BECAUSE THE PROJECTED ENROLLMENT, AFTER ELEMENTARY "X", BUILDS BACK TO EXCEED 100% LOS

ALTERNATIVES

1. **INCREASE LOS STANDARD TO 110%**
2. **REDISTRIBUTE ADDITIONAL STUDENTS TO ROE**

RECOMMENDATION

INCREASE LOS STANDARD TO 110% FOR IMMEDIATE SOLUTION

7. **FIHS**
IS OVER-CAPACITY BECAUSE THE PROJECTED ENROLLMENT IS GREATER THAN 100% LOS

ALTERNATIVES

1. **REDISTRIBUTE TO REDUCE ENROLLMENT**
2. **INCREASE LOS STANDARD TO 110%**

RECOMMENDATION

INCREASE LOS STANDARD TO 110% FOR IMMEDIATE SOLUTION

8. **MHS, RHS**
ARE OVER-CAPACITY, EVEN AFTER HIGH SCHOOL "QQQ", BECAUSE THEIR PROJECTED ENROLLMENT IS GREATER THAN 110% LOS

ALTERNATIVES

1. **REDISTRIBUTE TO REDUCE ENROLLMENT**
2. **CONSTRUCT ADDITION TO CAFÉ TO INCREASE CAPACITY**
3. **CONSTRUCT HIGH SCHOOL "RRR" TO REDUCE ENROLLMENT**

RECOMMENDATION

PLACE ON LONG RANGE CORRECTIVE PLAN

**IMPLICATIONS TO LEVEL OF SERVICE
PAGE 3**

9. **GPE, MCE, SBJ, WEC**
**ALL HAVE DECLINING ENROLLMENT AND ARE UNDER-CAPACITY BECAUSE
THEIR PROJECTED ENROLLMENTS ARE LESS THAN THEIR F.I.S.H. STUDENT
STATIONS**

ALTERNATIVES

1. ESTABLISH MINIMUM SCHOOL SIZE POLICY
2. REDISTRICT TO INCREASE ENROLLMENT
3. PROVIDE ADDITIONAL PROGRAMS TO INCREASE ENROLLMENT

RECOMMENDATION

ESTABLISH MINIMUM SCHOOL SIZE POLICY