

Clay County District Schools
Continuing Services Contract Civil Engineering Scoresheet (F.S. 287.055 Required Criteria)

Purpose: To rank professional engineering firms based on qualifications for a Continuing Services Contract, as required by the Consultants' Competitive Negotiation Act (CCNA). Price is not a factor in this evaluation. The final score listed is an average of the scores submitted by all evaluators.

<div> <div>Current and projected workload and ability to handle the rapid-response nature of a continuing contract. Max Points-25</div> <div>Firm's overall capacity (physical strength) to support all required civil engineering services. Max Points-25</div> <div>Qualifications and experience of key resources assigned to the contract (e.g., Project Manager, Engineers, Discipline Leads). Max Points-25</div> <div>Depth and diversity of the proposed team's technical expertise in relevant civil engineering disciplines (e.g., stormwater, utilities, sitework, etc.). Max Points-15</div> <div>Quality of performance on previous government contracts (including adherence to schedule, budget, and project quality). Max Points-5</div> <div>Relevant experience on similar continuing services contracts and specific projects in the areas defined in the Request for Qualifications (RFQ). Max Points-5</div> <div>Total/Rank</div> </div>								
Firm	Evaluation Date	Score	Score	Score	Score	Score	Score	
CPH Consulting, Inc.	10/27/2025	25	25	25	15	4.33	5	99.33 / 1
Matthews/DCCM	10/27/2025	24.83	24.83	24.83	15	4.33	5	98.82 / 2
Waitz and Moye	10/27/2025	23	22.67	24.33	15	4.67	4.33	94 / 3
REI	10/27/2025	23.33	24	22.33	12.67	3.33	4	89.66 / 4
Haskell	10/27/2025	21.67	23	23	14.33	3.33	4	89.33 / 5
Baker Design Build	10/27/2025	21	21.67	23	12.67	4	4.33	86.67 / 6

Evaluator: Rebecca Smith

Signature:

Evaluator: Paul Bement

Signature:

Evaluator: Bertha Staefe

Signature: