

School District of Clay County School-Based Administrative Appraisal Instrument

Social Security # XXX – XX		School Year	
School	Job Title		
Evaluator			
PRE-EVALUATION:			
Signature of Administrator		Date	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Signature of Evaluator		Date	
Comments:			
POST-EVALUATION:			
·			
Signature of Administrator		Date	
Signature of Evaluator		Date	

2012/2013 Pre-Assessment and Pre-Conference Summary

Shaded (Pink, Blue, Yellow) indicators are the 25 areas of focus for Year 1 (12-13)
Blue shaded are high yield indicators (have highest impact on improving student achievement)
Yellow shaded are the 3 district required high yield indicators of focus for 12-13
Leader will pick 2 additional high yield indicators of focus (1 will be the leaders Deliberate Practice)

I)oma	in 1 :	Stuc	ent A	(chi	ievement

Highly Effective 3 Effective 2 Needs Improvement 1 Unsatisfactory 0 Scale Levels: (choose one) Where there is sufficient evidence to rate current proficiency on an indicator, assign a proficiency level by checking one of the four proficiency levels. If not being rated at this time, leave blank.

Proficiency Area 1 - Student Learning Results: Effective school leaders achieve results on the school's student learning goals and direct energy, influence, and resources toward data analysis for instructional improvement, development and implementation of quality standards-based curricula.

	Highly Effective	Effective	Needs Improvement	Unsatisfactory	
Indicator 1.1 – Academic Standards					
Indicator 1.2 – Performance Data					
Indicator 1.3 – Planning and Goal Setting					
Indicator 1.4 - Student Achievement Results					
Pre-Evaluation PA #1: total per column					

Proficiency Area 2 - Student Learning as a Priority: Effective school leaders demonstrate that student learning is their top priority through effective leadership actions that build and support a learning organization focused on student success.

	Highly Effective	Effective	Needs Improvement	Unsatisfactory	
Indicator 2.1 - Learning Organization					
Indicator 2.2 - School Climate					
Indicator 2.3 - High Expectations	and the state of				
Indicator 2.4 - Student Performance Focus					
Pre-Evaluation PA #2: total per column					

Domain 1	
Pre - Evaluation Rating	

2012/2013 Pre-Assessment and Pre-Conference Summary

Domain 2: Instructional Leadership

Proficiency Area 3 - Instructional Plan Implementation: Effective school leaders work collaboratively to develop and implement an instructional framework that aligns curriculum with state standards, effective instructional practices, student learning needs, and assessments.

	Highly Effective	Effective	Needs Improvement	Unsatisfactory	
Indicator 3.1 - FEAPs		in the second se			
Indicator 3.2- Standards based Instruction					
Indicator 3.3 - Learning Goals Alignments					
Indicator 3.4 - Curriculum Alignments					
Indicator 3.5 - Quality Assessments					
Indicator 3.6 - Faculty Effectiveness					
Pre-Evaluation PA #3: total per column					

Proficiency Area 4 - Faculty Development: Effective school leaders recruit, retain, and develop an effective and diverse faculty and staff; focus on evidence, research, and classroom realities faced by teachers; link professional practice with student achievement to demonstrate the cause and effect relationship; facilitate effective professional development; monitor implementation of critical initiatives; and secure and provide timely feedback to teachers so that feedback can be used to increase teacher professional practice.

	Highly Effective	Effective	Needs Improvement	Unsatisfactory	
Indicator 4.1 - Recruitment and Retention					
Indicator 4.2- Feedback Practices					
Indicator 4.3 - High effect size strategies					
Indicator 4.4 - Instructional Initiatives					
Indicator 4.5 - Facilitating & Leading Prof. Learning					
Indicator 4.6 -Faculty Development Alignments					
Indicator 4.7 - Actual Improvement					
Pre-Evaluation PA #4: total per column					

Proficiency Area 5 - Learning Environment: Effective school leaders structure and monitor a school learning environment that improves learning for all of Florida's diverse student population.

	Highly Effective	Effective	Needs Improvement	Unsatisfactory	
Indicator 5.1 - Student Centered					
Indicator 5.2 - Success Oriented					
Indicator 5.3- Diversity					
Indicator 5.4 - Achievement Gaps		a potential de la companya de la co			
Pre-Evaluation PA #5: total per column					

Domain 2	
Pre - Evaluation Rating	

2012/2013 Pre-Assessment and Pre-Conference Summary

Domain 3: Operational Leadership

Proficiency Area 6 - Decision Making: Effective school leaders employ and monitor a decision-making process that is based on vision, mission, and improvement priorities using facts and data; manage the decision making process, but not all decisions, using the process to empower others and distribute leadership when appropriate; establish personal deadlines for themselves and the entire organization; and use a transparent process for making decisions and articulating who makes which decisions.

	Highly Effective	Effective	Needs Improvement	Unsatisfactory	
Indicator 6.1- Prioritization Practices					
Indicator 6.2- Problem Solving					
Indicator 6.3 - Quality Control					
Indicator 6.4 - Distributive Leadership					
Indicator 6.5 - Technology Integration					
Pre-Evaluation PA #6: total per column					

Proficiency Area 7 - Leadership Development: Effective school leaders actively cultivate, support, and develop other leaders within the organization, modeling trust, competency, and integrity in ways that positively impact and inspire growth in other potential leaders.

	Highly Effective	Effective	Needs Improvement	Unsatisfactory	
Indicator 7.1- Leadership Team					
Indicator 7.2 - Delegation			107		
Indicator 7.3 - Succession Planning					
Indicator 7.4 - Relationships					
Pre-Evaluation PA #7: total per column					

Proficiency Area 8 - School Management: Effective school leaders manage the organization, operations, and facilities in ways that maximize the use of resources to promote a safe, efficient, legal, and effective learning environment; effectively manage and delegate tasks and consistently demonstrate fiscal efficiency; and understand the benefits of going deeper with fewer initiatives as opposed to superficial coverage of everything.

	Highly		Needs		
	Effective	Effective	Improvement	Unsatisfactory	
Indicator 8.1 - Organizational Skills					
Indicator 8.2- Strategic Instructional Resourcing					
Indicator 8.3 – Collegial Learning Resources					in State
Pre-Evaluation PA #8: total per column					

Florida School Leaders Assessment School District of Clay County 2012/2013 Pre-Assessment and Pre-Conference Summary

Domain 3: Operational Leadership Continued

Proficiency Area 9 - Communication: Effective school leaders use appropriate oral, written, and electronic communication and collaboration skills to accomplish school and system goals by practicing two-way communications, seeking to listen and learn from and building and maintaining relationships with students, faculty, parents, and community; managing a process of regular communications to staff and community keeping all stakeholders engaged in the work of the school; recognizing individuals for good work; and maintaining high visibility at school and in the community.

	Highly Effective	Effective	Needs Improvement	Unsatisfactory	
Indicator 9.1-– Constructive Conversations					
Indicator 9.2 - Clear Goals and Expectations					
Indicator 9.3 - Accessibility					
Indicator 9.4 - Recognitions					
Pre-Evaluation PA #9: total per column	0	0	0	0	

Domain 3	
Pre - Evaluation Rating	

Domain 4: Professional and Ethical Behavior

Proficiency Area 10 - Professional and Ethical Behaviors: Effective school leaders demonstrate personal and professional behaviors consistent with quality practices in education and as a community leader by staying informed on current research in education and demonstrating their understanding of the research, engage in professional development opportunities that improve personal professional practice and align with the needs of the school system, and generate a professional development focus in their school that is clearly linked to the system-wide strategic objectives.

	Highly		Needs		
	Effective	Effective	Improvement	Unsatisfactory	
Indicator 10.1 – Resiliency					
Indicator 10.2 - Professional Learning					
Indicator 10.3 - Commitment					
Indicator 10.4 – Professional Conduct					
Pre-Evaluation PA #10: total per column					

Domain 4	
Pre - Evaluation Rating	

Florida School Leaders Assessment **School District of Clay County** 2012/2013 Pre-Assessment and Pre-Conference Summary

Component 2 - Student Achievement

Component	2 - Student	Achievem	ent		
		_			
Highly Effective 3 Effective Scale Levels: (choose one) Where there is sufficient			nent 1 Unsa ent proficiency o		
Proficiency Area 1 - Administrator uses school a improvement process to set his or her personal a school or district accountability data, state asses	annual lead	ership dev	elopment goals.	Data shall includ	
Proficiency Area 2 - Administrator designs and it towards or attainment of goal(s) for student imp	_	s appropria	ite strategies to s	show progress	
Proficiency Area 3 - Administrator has specific, attainment of goal(s) for student improvement. the measure of student growth.					
Proficiency Area 4 - Administrator reflects on go continuous professional improvement and share					of
	Highly Effective	Effective	Needs Improvement	Unsatisfactory	
Indicator 1.1 - Data Analysis					
Indicator 1.2 – School Improvement Planning					
Indicator 1.3 – Evidence of Student Growth					
Indicator 1.4 -Continuous Improvement					
Pre-Evaluation Comp 2: total per column					0
Component 2					
Pre - Evaluation Rating					Tarrier States

Component 2	
Pre - Evaluation Rating	

Florida School Leaders Assessment School District of Clay County 2012/2013 Pre-Assessment and Conference Summary Score Sheet

					·
	*** CC . * .	^	NI J Y	1	Timesticfactory A
Highly Effective 3	Effective	7.	Needs Improvement		Unsatisfactory 0
inghiy Enecuve 5	MIICCLIVC	-	Maccar Minbro Agrican		

Proficiency Area 1 (3 Indicators)	Highly Effective	Effective	Needs Improvement	Unsatisfactory
Pre-Evaluation: total per column				
HE (3 HE) OR (2 HE + I E) None less than E				
E (2 E or higher and no more than 1 NI) None are U				
NI (criteria for E not met and no more than 1 U)				
U (if 2 or more are U)				<u> </u>

Proficiency Area 2 (2 Indicators)	Highly Effective	Effective	Needs Improvement	Unsatisfactory
Pre-Evaluation: total per column				
HE (2 HE) OR (1 HE + I E) None less than E				
E (2 E) (1 E + 1 NI) (no more than 1 NI) None are U				
NI (criteria for E not met and no more than 1 U)				
U (if both are U)				
			Proficie	ency Area 2 Rating

	Highly Effective	Effective	Needs Improvement	Unsatisfactory
Proficiency Area 1 Rating				
Proficiency Area 2 Rating				
Total	0	0	0	0
HE (Both HE)				
E (1 HE + 1 E) OR (2 E)				
NI (1 HE/E + 1 NI/U) OR (2 NI)				
U (1 NI + 1 U) OR (Both U)				
			Domain 1 Pre - E	valuation Rating
				Points

2012/2013 Pre-Assessment and Conference Summary Score Sheet

Domain 2 : Inst				
Proficiency Area 3 (4 Indicators)	Highly Effective	Effective	Needs Improvement	Unsatisfactory
Pre-Evaluation: total per column				
HE (4 HE) OR (3 HE + I E) None less than E				
E (3 E or higher and no more than 1 NI) None are U				
NI (criteria for E not met and no more than 1 U)				
U (if 2 or more are U)				
			Profici	ency Area 3 Rating

Proficiency Area 4 (5 Indicators)	Highly Effective	Effective	Needs Improvement	Unsatisfactory
Pre-Evaluation: total per column				
HE (5 HE) OR (4 HE + 1 E) None less than E				
E (at least 4 are E/HE and no more than 1 NI) No U				
NI (criteria for E not met and no more than 1 U)				
U (if 2 or more are U)				
			Profici	ency Area 4 Rating
Proficiency Area 5 (2 Indicators)	Highly Effective	Effective	Needs Improvement	Unsatisfactory
Pre-Evaluation: total per column				
HE (2 HE) OR (1 HE + I E) None less than E				
E (2 E) (1 E + 1 NI) (no more than 1 NI) None are U				
NI (criteria for E not met and no more than 1 U)				
U (if both are U)				<u> </u>
			Profici	ency Area 5 Rating

	Highly Effective	Effective	Needs Improvement	Unsatisfactory
Proficiency Area 3 Rating				
Proficiency Area 4 Rating				
Proficiency Area 5 Rating				
Total	0	0	0	0
HE (3 HE) OR (2 HE + 1 E) None less than E				
E (3 E) OR (2 E + 1 NI) OR (1 HE + 1 E + 1 NI)				
NI (ANY 2 NI) OR (1 HE/E + 1 NI + 1 U)				
U (2 or more U)				
			Domain 2 Pre - E	valuation Rating
				Points

8

2012/2013 Pre-Assessment and Conference Summary Score Sheet

Domain 3 :Ope Proficiency Area 6 (2 Indicators)	Highly Effective	Effective	Needs Improvement	Unsatisfactory
Pre-Evaluation: total per column				
HE (2 HE) OR (1 HE + I E) None less than E				
E (2 E) (1 E + 1 NI) (no more than 1 NI) None are U				
NI (criteria for E not met and no more than 1 U)				
U (if both are U)				
			Profici	ency Area 6 Rating
Proficiency Area 7 (2 Indicators)	Highly Effective	Effective	Needs Improvement	Unsatisfactory
Pre-Evaluation: total per column				
HE (2 HE) OR (1 HE + I E) None less than E				
E (2 E) (1 E + 1 NI) (no more than 1 NI) None are U				
NI (criteria for E not met and no more than 1 U)				
U (if both are U)				
				ency Area 7 Rating
Proficiency Area 8 (2 Indicators)	Highly Effective	Effective	Needs Improvement	Unsatisfactory
Pre-Evaluation: total per column				
HE (2 HE) OR (1 HE + I E) None less than E				
E (2 E) (1 E + 1 NI) (no more than 1 NI) None are U				
NI (criteria for E not met and no more than 1 U)				
U (if both are U)				
	·			iency Area 8 Rating
Proficiency Area 9 (1 Indicator)	Highly Effective	Effective	Needs Improvement	Unsatisfactory
Pre-Evaluation: total per column	0	0	0	0
HE (If Proficiency Area 10 rated HE)				
E (If Proficiency Area 10 rated E)				
NI (If Proficiency Area 10 rated NI)				
U (If Proficiency Area 10 rated U)				

	Highly Effective	Effective	Needs Improvement	Unsatisfactory
Proficiency Area 6 Rating				
Proficiency Area 7 Rating				
Proficiency Area 8 Rating				
Proficiency Area 9 Rating				
Total	0	0	0	0
HE (4 HE) OR (3 HE + 1 E)				
E (2 HE + 2 E) OR (4 E) OR (3 E + 1 NI or HE)				
NI (2 E + 2 NI) (any 3 NI) (2 HE/E + 1 NI + 1 U)				
U (2 or more U)				
			Domain 3 Pre - E	valuation Rating

2012/2013 Pre-Assessment and Conference Summary Score Sheet

Domain 4 : Pro		Eliaviols	Needs	A SECURE OF SECURITION
Proficiency Area 10 (2 Indicators)	Highly Effective	Effective	Improvement	Unsatisfactory
Pre-Evaluation: total per column				
HE (2 HE) OR (1 HE + I E) None less than E				
E (2 E) (1 E + 1 NI) (no more than 1 NI) None are U				
NI (criteria for E not met and no more than 1 U)		<u> </u>		
U (if both are U)				

	Highly Effective	Effective	Needs Improvement	Unsatisfactory
Proficiency Area 10 Rating				
Total	0	0	0	0
HE (If Proficiency Area 10 rated HE)				
E (If Proficiency Area 10 rated E)				
NI (If Proficiency Area 10 rated NI)				
U (If Proficiency Area 10 rated U)				
			Domain 4 Pre - E	valuation Rating
				Points

			106-1-Le	Maishad Value	Convert to 100	Domain
Domain	Rating	Points	Weight	Weighed Value	Pt. Scale	Score
Domain 1				Ī		
Student Achievement		1	0.20	#VALUE!	100	#VALUE
Domain 2	6. 32					
Instructional Leadership			0.40	#VALUE!	100	#VALUE
Domain 3						
Organizational Leadership	A 55		0.20	#VALUE!	100	#VALUE
Domain 4						
Professional and Ethical Behavior			0.20	#VALUE!	100	#VALUE
FSLA Score	1					#VALUE

FSLA SCORE	FSLA Proficiency Rating
240 to 300	Highly Effective
151 to 239	Effective
75 to 160	Needs Improvement
0 to 74	Unsatisfactory

Florida School Leaders Assessmer	t Rating
Pre-Evaluation Points	
Post Evaluation Points	

2012/2013 Pre-Assessment and Conference Summary Score Sheet

Proficiency Area 3 (4 Indicators)		Highly Effective	Effective	Needs Improvement	Unsatisfactory	
Pre-Evaluation: total per column		0	0	0	0	
HE (4 HE) OR (3 HE + I E) None les	s than E					
E (3 E or higher and no more tha	n 1 NI) None are U					
NI (criteria for E not met and no r	nore than 1 U)					
U (if 2 or more are U)		<u> </u>	<u> </u>			
				Proficie	ency Area 3 Rating	
	Kating Cha	rt - Compor	ient 2		Convert to 100	Domain
Component 2	Rating	Points	Weight	Weighed Value	Pt. Scale	Score
Student Growth Measure			1.00	#VALUE!	100	#VALUE
						#VALUE

SGM SCORE	SGM Proficiency Rating
240 to 300	Highly Effective
151 to 239	Effective
75 to 160	Needs Improvement
0 to 74	Unsatisfactory

Student Growth Measure	
Post-Evaluation Total SGM Score	
Post-Evaluation SGM Rating	

Total Score	Proficiency Rating
480 - 600	Highly Effective
301 - 479	Effective
149 - 300	Needs Improvement
0 - 148	Unsatisfactory

Overall Performance Rating	
Post Evaluation Score - FSLA	
Post Evaluation Score - SGM	
Total Points	0
Post Evaluation Rating	

2012/2013 Post Self-Assessment and Post-Conference Summary

Shaded (Pink, Blue, Yellow) indicators are the 25 areas of focus for Year 1 (12-13)
Blue shaded are high yield indicators (have highest impact on improving student achievement)
Yellow shaded are the 3 district required high yield indicators of focus for 12-13
Leader will pick 2 additional high yield indicators of focus (1 will be the leaders Deliberate Practice)

Domain 1: Student Achievement

Highly Effective 3 Effective 2 Needs Improvement 1 Unsatisfactory 0 Scale Levels: (choose one) Where there is sufficient evidence to rate current proficiency on an indicator, assign a proficiency level by checking one of the four proficiency levels. If not being rated at this time, leave blank.

Proficiency Area 1 - Student Learning Results: Effective school leaders achieve results on the school's student learning goals and direct energy, influence, and resources toward data analysis for instructional improvement, development and implementation of quality standards-based curricula.

	Highly Effective	Effective	Needs Improvement	Unsatisfactory	
Indicator 1.1 – Academic Standards					
Indicator 1.2 – Performance Data					
Indicator 1.3 – Planning and Goal Setting	1.198 1.190				
Indicator 1.4 - Student Achievement Results					
Pre-Evaluation PA #1: total per column					

Proficiency Area 2 - Student Learning as a Priority: Effective school leaders demonstrate that student learning is their top priority through effective leadership actions that build and support a learning organization focused on student success.

	Highly Effective	Effective	Needs Improvement	Unsatisfactory	
Indicator 2.1 - Learning Organization					
Indicator 2.2 - School Climate					
Indicator 2.3 - High Expectations					
Indicator 2.4 - Student Performance Focus					
Pre-Evaluation PA #2: total per column					

Domain 1	
Pre - Evaluation Rating	

2012/2013 Post Self-Assessment and Post-Conference Summary

Domain 2: Instructional Leadership

Proficiency Area 3 - Instructional Plan Implementation: Effective school leaders work collaboratively to develop and implement an instructional framework that aligns curriculum with state standards, effective instructional practices, student learning needs, and assessments.

	Highly Effective	Effective	Needs Improvement	Unsatisfactory	
Indicator 3.1 - FEAPs					
Indicator 3.2- Standards based Instruction					
Indicator 3.3 - Learning Goals Alignments					
Indicator 3.4 - Curriculum Alignments					
Indicator 3.5 - Quality Assessments					
Indicator 3.6 - Faculty Effectiveness					
Pre-Evaluation PA #3: total per column	14 1 27				

Proficiency Area 4 - Faculty Development: Effective school leaders recruit, retain, and develop an effective and diverse faculty and staff; focus on evidence, research, and classroom realities faced by teachers; link professional practice with student achievement to demonstrate the cause and effect relationship; facilitate effective professional development; monitor implementation of critical initiatives; and secure and provide timely feedback to teachers so that feedback can be used to increase teacher professional practice.

	Highly	Effective	Needs	Unsatisfactory	
	Effective	Enective	Improvement	Ulisatistactory	
Indicator 4.1 - Recruitment and Retention		ļ		<u> </u>	ļ
Indicator 4.2- Feedback Practices					
Indicator 4.3 - High effect size strategies					
Indicator 4.4 - Instructional Initiatives	1.7465				
Indicator 4.5 - Facilitating & Leading Prof. Learning					
Indicator 4.6 –Faculty Development Alignments					
Indicator 4.7 - Actual Improvement					<u> </u>
Pre-Evaluation PA #4: total per column					

Proficiency Area 5 - Learning Environment: Effective school leaders structure and monitor a school learning environment that improves learning for all of Florida's diverse student population.

Tour many of the state of the s							
	Highly Effective	Effective	Needs Improvement	Unsatisfactory			
Indicator 5.1 - Student Centered							
Indicator 5.2 - Success Oriented							
Indicator 5.3- Diversity							
Indicator 5.4 - Achievement Gaps							
Pre-Evaluation PA #5: total per column			100				

Domain 2	
Pre - Evaluation Rating	

Florida School Leaders Assessment School District of Clay County 2012/2013 Post Self-Assessment and Post-Conference Summary

Domain 3: Operational Leadership

Proficiency Area 6 - Decision Making: Effective school leaders employ and monitor a decision-making process that is based on vision, mission, and improvement priorities using facts and data; manage the decision making process, but not all decisions, using the process to empower others and distribute leadership when appropriate; establish personal deadlines for themselves and the entire organization; and use a transparent process for making decisions and articulating who makes which decisions.

	Highly Effective	Effective	Needs Improvement	Unsatisfactory	
Indicator 6.1- Prioritization Practices					
Indicator 6.2- Problem Solving					
Indicator 6.3 - Quality Control					
Indicator 6.4 - Distributive Leadership					
Indicator 6.5 - Technology Integration					
Pre-Evaluation PA #6: total per column					

Proficiency Area 7 - Leadership Development: Effective school leaders actively cultivate, support, and develop other leaders within the organization, modeling trust, competency, and integrity in ways that positively impact and inspire growth in other potential leaders.

	Highly Effective	Effective	Needs Improvement	Unsatisfactory	
Indicator 7.1- Leadership Team					
Indicator 7.2 - Delegation					
Indicator 7.3 - Succession Planning					
Indicator 7.4 - Relationships					
Pre-Evaluation PA #7: total per column					

Proficiency Area 8 - School Management: Effective school leaders manage the organization, operations, and facilities in ways that maximize the use of resources to promote a safe, efficient, legal, and effective learning environment; effectively manage and delegate tasks and consistently demonstrate fiscal efficiency; and understand the benefits of going deeper with fewer initiatives as opposed to superficial coverage of everything.

	Highly Effective	Effective	Needs Improvement	Unsatisfactory	
Indicator 8.1 - Organizational Skills					
Indicator 8.2- Strategic Instructional Resourcing					
Indicator 8.3 – Collegial Learning Resources					
Pre-Evaluation PA #8: total per column					

2012/2013 Post Self-Assessment and Post-Conference Summary

Domain 3: Operational Leadership Continued

Proficiency Area 9 - Communication: Effective school leaders use appropriate oral, written, and electronic communication and collaboration skills to accomplish school and system goals by practicing two-way communications, seeking to listen and learn from and building and maintaining relationships with students, faculty, parents, and community; managing a process of regular communications to staff and community keeping all stakeholders engaged in the work of the school; recognizing individuals for good work; and maintaining high visibility at school and in the community.

	Highly Effective	Effective	Needs Improvement	Unsatisfactory	
Indicator 9.1-– Constructive Conversations					
Indicator 9.2 - Clear Goals and Expectations					
Indicator 9.3 - Accessibility					
Indicator 9.4 - Recognitions					
Pre-Evaluation PA #9: total per column	0	0	0	_0	

Domain 3	
Pre - Evaluation Rating	

Domain 4: Professional and Ethical Behavior

Proficiency Area 10 - Professional and Ethical Behaviors: Effective school leaders demonstrate personal and professional behaviors consistent with quality practices in education and as a community leader by staying informed on current research in education and demonstrating their understanding of the research, engage in professional development opportunities that improve personal professional practice and align with the needs of the school system, and generate a professional development focus in their school that is clearly linked to the system-wide strategic objectives.

	Highly		Needs		
	Effective	Effective	Improvement	Unsatisfactory	
Indicator 10.1 – Resiliency					
Indicator 10.2 - Professional Learning					
Indicator 10.3 - Commitment					
Indicator 10.4 – Professional Conduct					
Pre-Evaluation PA #10: total per column					

Domain 4	
Pre - Evaluation Rating	1000 March

Florida School Leaders Assessment School District of Clay County 2012/2013 Post Self-Assessment and Post-Conference Summary

Component 2 - Student Achievement

	2 Judeni	Achievem	ent		
Highly Effective 3 Effective Scale Levels: (choose one) Where there is sufficien					
Proficiency Area 1 - Administrator uses school a improvement process to set his or her personal a school or district accountability data, state asses	annual lead	ership dev	elopment goals.	Data shall include	
Proficiency Area 2 - Administrator designs and towards or attainment of goal(s) for student imp	-	s appropria	ite strategies to s	show progress	
attainment of goal(s) for student improvement.					
Proficiency Area 3 - Administrator has specific, attainment of goal(s) for student improvement. the measure of student growth. Proficiency Area 4 - Administrator reflects on goontinuous professional improvement and share	Where avai	lable, the s	chool's VAM scor	e will be used as for the purpose o	f
attainment of goal(s) for student improvement. the measure of student growth. Proficiency Area 4 - Administrator reflects on g	Where avai	lable, the s	chool's VAM scor	e will be used as for the purpose o	f
attainment of goal(s) for student improvement. The measure of student growth. Proficiency Area 4 - Administrator reflects on go continuous professional improvement and share	Where avai oal-setting j es student i Highly	process and	chool's VAM scor d data outcomes nt information a	re will be used as for the purpose o s appropriate.	f
attainment of goal(s) for student improvement. The measure of student growth. Proficiency Area 4 - Administrator reflects on go continuous professional improvement and share Indicator 1.1 - Data Analysis	Where avai oal-setting j es student i Highly	process and	chool's VAM scor d data outcomes nt information a	re will be used as for the purpose o s appropriate.	f
attainment of goal(s) for student improvement. The measure of student growth. Proficiency Area 4 - Administrator reflects on go continuous professional improvement and share and share and state are also as a second continuous professional improvement Planning and Condicator 1.2 - School Improvement Planning	Where avai oal-setting j es student i Highly	process and	chool's VAM scor d data outcomes nt information a	re will be used as for the purpose o s appropriate.	f
attainment of goal(s) for student improvement. the measure of student growth. Proficiency Area 4 - Administrator reflects on g	Where avai oal-setting j es student i Highly	process and	chool's VAM scor d data outcomes nt information a	re will be used as for the purpose o s appropriate.	f

Component 2	
Pre - Evaluation Rating	10.04

Florida School Leaders Assessment School District of Clay County 2012/2013 Post-Conference Summary Score Sheet

Highly Effective 3	Effective	2	Needs Improvement	1	Unsatisfactory 0	l

Effective	Improvement	Unsatisfactory

Proficiency Area 2 (2 Indicators)	Highly Effective	Effective	Needs Improvement	Unsatisfactory
Pre-Evaluation: total per column				
HE (2 HE) OR (1 HE + I E) None less than E				
E (2 E) (1 E + 1 NI) (no more than 1 NI) None are U				
NI (criteria for E not met and no more than 1 U)				
U (if both are U)				
			Profici	ency Area 2 Rating

	Highly Effective	Effective	Needs Improvement	Unsatisfactory
Proficiency Area 1 Rating				
Proficiency Area 2 Rating				
Total	0	0	0	0
HE (Both HE)				
E (1 HE + 1 E) OR (2 E)				
NI (1 HE/E + 1 NI/U) OR (2 NI)				
U (1 NI + 1 U) OR (Both U)				
			Domain 1 Pre - E	valuation Rating
				Points

2012/2013 Post-Conference Summary Score Sheet

Domain 2 : Inst	ructional Le	adership		
Proficiency Area 3 (4 Indicators)	Highly Effective	Effective	Needs Improvement	Unsatisfactory
Pre-Evaluation: total per column	The first of the second			
HE (4 HE) OR (3 HE + I E) None less than E				
E (3 E or higher and no more than 1 NI) None are U				
NI (criteria for E not met and no more than 1 U)				
U (if 2 or more are U)				
			Profici	ency Area 3 Rating

Proficiency Area 4 (5 Indicators)	Highly Effective	Effective	Needs Improvement	Unsatisfactory
Pre-Evaluation: total per column				
HE (5 HE) OR (4 HE + 1 E) None less than E				
E (at least 4 are E/HE and no more than 1 NI) No U				
NI (criteria for E not met and no more than 1 U)				
U (if 2 or more are U)				
			Profici	ency Area 4 Rating
Proficiency Area 5 (2 Indicators)	Highly Effective	Effective	Needs Improvement	Unsatisfactory
Pre-Evaluation: total per column				
HE (2 HE) OR (1 HE + I E) None less than E				
E $(2 E) (1 E + 1 NI)$ (no more than 1 NI) None are U				
NI (criteria for E not met and no more than 1 U)				
U (if both are U)				
			Profici	ency Area 5 Rating

	Highly Effective	Effective	Needs Improvement	Unsatisfactory
Proficiency Area 3 Rating				
Proficiency Area 4 Rating				
Proficiency Area 5 Rating				
Total	0	0	0	0
HE (3 HE) OR (2 HE + 1 E) None less than E				
E (3 E) OR (2 E + 1 NI) OR (1 HE + 1 E + 1 NI)				
NI (ANY 2 NI) OR (1 HE/E + 1 NI + 1 U)				
U (2 or more U)				
			Domain 2 Pre - E	valuation Rating
				Points

2012/2013 Post-Conference Summary Score Sheet

Proficiency Area 6 (2 Indicators)	Highly Effective	Effective	Needs Improvement	Unsatisfactory
Pre-Evaluation: total per column				
HE (2 HE) OR (1 HE + I E) None less than E				
E (2 E) (1 E + 1 NI) (no more than 1 NI) None are U				
NI (criteria for E not met and no more than 1 U)				
U (if both are U)				
			Profici	ency Area 6 Rating
Proficiency Area 7 (2 Indicators)	Highly Effective	Effective	Needs Improvement	Unsatisfactory
Pre-Evaluation: total per column				
HE (2 HE) OR (1 HE + I E) None less than E				12 (12 (12 (12 (12 (12 (12 (12 (12 (12 (
E (2 E) (1 E + 1 NI) (no more than 1 NI) None are U				
NI (criteria for E not met and no more than 1 U)				
U (if both are U)				
			Profici	ency Area 7 Rating
Proficiency Area 8 (2 Indicators)	Highly Effective	Effective	Needs Improvement	Unsatisfactory
Pre-Evaluation: total per column				
HE (2 HE) OR (1 HE + I E) None less than E				
E (2 E) (1 E + 1 NI) (no more than 1 NI) None are U				
NI (criteria for E not met and no more than 1 U)				
U (if both are U)				
			Profici	iency Area 8 Rating
Proficiency Area 9 (1 Indicator)	Highly Effective	Effective	Needs Improvement	Unsatisfactory
Pre-Evaluation: total per column	.0	0	0	0
HE (If Proficiency Area 10 rated HE)				
E (If Proficiency Area 10 rated E)				
NI (If Proficiency Area 10 rated NI)				
U (If Proficiency Area 10 rated U)				

	Highly Effective	Effective	Needs Improvement	Unsatisfactory
Proficiency Area 6 Rating				
Proficiency Area 7 Rating				
Proficiency Area 8 Rating				
Proficiency Area 9 Rating				
Total	0	0	0	0
HE (4 HE) OR (3 HE + 1 E)				
E (2 HE + 2 E) OR (4 E) OR (3 E + 1 NI or HE)				
NI (2E+2NI) (any 3 NI) (2HE/E+1NI+1U)				
U (2 or more U)				
			Domain 3 Pre - E	valuation Rating

Florida School Leaders Assessment School District of Clay County 2012/2013 Post-Conference Summary Score Sheet

Domain 4 : Pro	ofessional Be	ehaviors		Points
Proficiency Area 10 (2 Indicators)	Highly Effective	Effective	Needs Improvement	Unsatisfactory
Pre-Evaluation: total per column				
HE (2 HE) OR (1 HE + I E) None less than E				
E (2 E) (1 E + 1 NI) (no more than 1 NI) None are U				
NI (criteria for E not met and no more than 1 U)				
U (if both are U)				
		-	Proficie	ncy Area 10 Rating

	Highly Effective	Effective	Needs Improvement	Unsatisfactory
Proficiency Area 10 Rating				
Total	0	0	0	0
HE (If Proficiency Area 10 rated HE)				
E (If Proficiency Area 10 rated E)				
NI (If Proficiency Area 10 rated NI)				
U (If Proficiency Area 10 rated U)				
			Domain 4 Pre - E	valuation Rating
				Points

					Convert to 100	Domain
Domain	Rating	Points	Weight	Weighed Value	Pt. Scale	Score
Domain 1						
Student Achievement			0.20	#VALUE!	100	#VALUE!
Domain 2						
Instructional Leadership			0.40	#VALUE!	100	#VALUE!
Domain 3	- 					
Organizational Leadership		1	0.20	#VALUE!	100	#VALUE!
Domain 4						
Professional and Ethical Behavior			0.20	#VALUE!	100	#VALUE!
FSLA Score						#VALUE

FSLA SCORE	FSLA Proficiency Rating
240 to 300	Highly Effective
151 to 239	Effective
75 to 160	Needs Improvement
0 to 74	Unsatisfactory

1700000 20000000000000000000000000000000	Florida School Leaders Assessme	ent Rating
Pre	Evaluation Points	
Post	t Evaluation Points	

2012/2013 Post-Conference Summary Score Sheet

Proficiency Area 3 (4 Indicators) Pre-Evaluation: total per column HE (4 HE) OR (3 HE + I E) None less than E		Highly Effective	Effective	Needs Improvement	Unsatisfactory	
		0	0	0	0	
E (3 E or higher and no more th	an 1 NI) None are U					
NI (criteria for E not met and no	more than 1 U)					
U (if 2 or more are U)						
				Proficie	ency Area 3 Rating	
Component 2	Rating	rt - Compor Points	 Weight	Weighed Value	Convert to 100 Pt. Scale	Domain Score
Student Growth Measure			1.00	#VALUE!	100	#VALUE
SGM Score						#VALUE
SGM SCORE			SGM Profi	ciency Rating		
240 to 300			Highly Effective			
151 to 239			Effective			
75 to 160			Needs Improvement			
0 to 74			Unsatisfactory			

Student Growth Measure	
Post-Evaluation Total SGM Score	
Post-Evaluation SGM Rating	

Total Score	Proficiency Rating
480 - 600	Highly Effective
301 - 479	Effective
149 - 300	Needs Improvement
0 - 148	Unsatisfactory

Overall Performance Rating			
Post Evaluation Score - FSLA			
Post Evaluation Score - SGM			
Total Points	0		
Post Evaluation Rating			